- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

۲

# A C++ Program Example: Three Bags



C++ Object Oriented Programming Pei-yih Ting NTOU CSE

## A Simple Probabilistic Experiment



- Three paper bags, each bag is given two balls with colors shown in the above figure
- ♦ We perform the following probabilistic experiment:
  - \* Step 1: put balls into each bags
  - \* Step 2: randomly choose a bag
  - \* Step 3: randomly draw one ball out of the bag
  - \* Step 4: if the color is red, then take the second ball out of the bag otherwise stop the experiment

we want to find out the probability that the second ball is red at step 4

#### A Simple Probabilistic Experiment

Is the remaining ball red or white?



## A Program Written in C (1/3)

Let's try simulating this experiment and caculating the probability by the so called Monte Carlo method

 $\diamond$  Converting the problem specification into C

- \* Let's do the experiments 10000 times to estimate the probability  $\rightarrow$  a **for** loop
- ★ Using a random variable in the range {0, 1, 2} to emulate the random choice of a bag at step 2 → variable draw1
- ★ Using another random variable in the range {0, 1} to emulate the random selection of a ball from the chosen bag at step 3
  → variable draw2
- ★ At each run of experiment, keep the count of those experiments with the first selected ball being red → variable totalCount
- ★ At each run of experiment, keep the count of those experiments with both balls being red → variable redCount
- \* Take the ratio of **redCount** and **totalCount** to be the result

# A Program Written in C (2/3)

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
p; 31
32
33
34
35
36 }
·
k a bag
d)

```
else if (draw1 == 1) // (Red, White)
```

```
draw2 = rand() % 2;
if (draw2 == 0) // the first is Red
  totalCount++;
else // the first is White
  /* do nothing */;
```

```
printf("Pr(2nd is red | 1st is red)=%lf\n",
 (double)redCount / (double)totalCount);
```

```
out of the three
```

```
totalCount++;
redCount++;
```

21

22

23

Output: Pr(2nd is red | 1st is red)=0.665299

## A Program Written in C (3/3)

- Is the conversion process from the problem specification to a C program direct and trivial? NO
- If you just read the C program alone, can you reconstruct the problem easily and exactly? NO
- There are many missing pieces of the original problem specification in the above C program.
  - 100000 experiments mixed together (without my explanations, some might have a wrong picture of what the program actually does) Variables totalCount and redCount are something not in the original problem specification.
  - \* Meaning of variables draw1 and draw2 are a little bit intriguing.
  - \* There is no bag appearing in the program.
  - \* No codes are associated with the case that the bag with two white balls is selected.

## The Same Program Written in C++

- Model the problem *in the application domain* (*the problem domain*) with minimal transformation to the computer technical domain
- Identify all objects, describe their functionalities and interrelationships, categorize them, extract common characteristics
  - Experiment (Game)
    - ⇐ contain three bags
    - ✤ random selection of a bag
  - \* Bag
    - ⇐ contain zero, one, or two balls
    - ✤ random selection of a ball inside
  - \* Ball

# The Same Program Written in C++

- Characterize the usages of the overall system: these usages would integrate the functionalities of the above designed set of objects (classes) (Use cases, Scenarios)
  - Perform an experiment: requires the participation of three bags, each bag has two balls with color as specified, select a bag, then select a ball, check its color, if red, check the color of the second ball
  - Perform the above experiment for 100000 times and keep the statistics
     *bottom-up programming methodology*
- Use existing/common OO architecture or components to implement the designed architecture.
- ♦ Move on to customized OO programming.



#### Game Class

041 ------ 2:Game.h ------042 043 044 #ifndef game\_h 045 #define game\_h 046 047 #include ''Bag.h'' 048 049 class Game 050 { 051 public: 052 Bag \*getABag(); **053** Game(); **054** ~Game(); 055 private: Bag \*m\_bags[3]; 056 057 }; 058 **059** #endif

062 ------ 3:Game.cpp ------063 064 065 #include "Game.h" 066 #include ''Bag.h'' 067 #include <stdlib.h> // rand() 068 **069 Game::Game()** 070 { 071  $m_bags[0] = new Bag(0,0);$ 072  $m_{bags}[1] = new Bag(0,1);$  $m_bags[2] = new Bag(1,1);$ 073 074075 **076 Game::~Game()** 077 { 078 int i: 079 for (i=0; i<3; i++) 080 delete m\_bags[i]; **081** } 082 **083 Bag \*Game::getABag()** 084 { 085 return m\_bags[rand()%3]; 086 }

## Bag Class

089 ------ 4:Bag.h ------090 091 092 #ifndef BAG\_H 093 #define BAG\_H 094 **095 class Ball;** 096 **097 class Bag** 098 { **099 public:** 100 **Ball** \*getABall(); **101** void putBallsBack(); 102 **Bag(int color1, int color2);** 103  $\sim$ **Bag()**; **104 private:** 105 Ball \*m\_balls[2]; 106 int m\_numberOfBalls; 107 }; 108 109 #endif

<u>112 ----- 5:Bag.cpp ------</u> 113 114 115 #include ''Bag.h'' 116 #include ''Ball.h'' 117 #include <stdlib.h> // rand() 118 **119 Bag::Bag(int color1, int color2)** 120 : m\_numberOfBalls(2) 121 { 122 m\_balls[0] = new Ball(color1); m\_balls[1] = new Ball(color2); 123 124 } 125 **126 Bag::~Bag()** 127 { 128 delete m\_balls[0]; delete m\_balls[1]; 129 **130** } 131

## Bag Class (cont'd)

#### 132 Ball \*Bag::getABall()

133

134	if (m_numberOfBalls == 0)	1
135	return 0;	1
136	else if (m_numberOfBalls == 1)	1
137	{	
138	$\mathbf{\tilde{m}}$ numberOfBalls = 0;	
139	return m balls[0];	
140	}	
141	else	
142	{	
143	i int iPicked = rand()%2:	
144	<pre>/ Ball *pickedBall = m balls[iPicked]</pre>	
145	if (iPicked == 0)	
146	{	
147	m balls[0] = m balls[1]: $\frac{1}{6}$	
148	m balls[1] = pickedBall: $/$	This d
149	}/	proble
150	m numberOfBalls = 1:	from a
151	return nickedBall:	bollio
152_	}	
1531		

154 155 void Bag::putBallsBack() 156 { 157 m\_numberOfBalls = 2; 158 }

This design and implementation are problematic. When you get a ball from a bag, the ownership of the ball is better naturally transferred.

#### Ball Class

161 6:Ball.h
162
163
164 #ifndef BALL_H
165 #define BALL_H
166
167 class Ball
168 {
169 public:
170 bool IsRed();
171 Ball(int color);
172 private:
173 int m_redWhite;
174 };
175
176 #endif

179 ------ 7:Ball.cpp ------**180** 181 182 #include ''Ball.h'' 183 **184 Ball::Ball(int color)** 185 : m\_redWhite(color) 186 { 187 } 188 189 bool Ball::IsRed() **190** { 191 if (m\_redWhite == 0) **192** return true; 193 else **194** return false; **195** }

## main()

022

023

024

025

026

027

028

029

030

031

032

033

034

035

036

037

039

040

001
002 1:main.cpp
003
004
005 #include ''Game.h''
006 #include ''Bag.h''
007 #include ''Ball.h''
008 #include <stdlib.h> // srand()</stdlib.h>
009 #include <time.h> // time()</time.h>
010 #include <iostream.h></iostream.h>
011
012 void main()
013 {
014 int i;
015 Game theGame;
016 Bag *pickedBag;
017 Ball *pickedBall;
018 int totalCount = 0;
019 int secondIsAlsoRed = 0;
020
021 srand(time(0));

```
for (i=0; i<100000; i++)
        pickedBag = theGame.getABag();
        pickedBall = pickedBag->getABall();
        if (pickedBall->IsRed())
          totalCount++;
          if (pickedBag->getABall()->IsRed())
             secondIsAlsoRed++;
        pickedBag->putBallsBack();
      cout << "The probability that remaining
   ball is red = "
      << ((double)secondIsAlsoRed/totalCount)
   << ''\n'';
038 }
```

#### Some Observations

- ♦ Lengthier codes
- ♦ More functions
- ♦ Slower (maybe)



There is a clear conceptual architecture for the program:
 the static object model



#### More Observations

- Bottom-up design: some of the functions of an object might not even be used in this particular application.
   Ex. the Complex class in the lab
- The functions and data of each class/object are selfcontained.
- The data coupling and control coupling between an object and other objects are designed to be minimal. Objects interact with each other through constrained interface functions.
- Software operations mimic the physical functions of the original real world problem.
- The overall program functionalities are provided by a set of cooperating objects.

#### Even More

♦ Many consumer products are designed with cooperating parts: e.g.

- \* Car: engine, fuel system, wheels, transmission, steeling, bucket seats, ...
- \* Computer: CPU, MB, RAM, HD, display interface, keyboard/mouse, screen
- ++ Just a little engineering common sense would tell you how to maintain or repair a car/computer when it breaks down – find out which part is not functioning well and replace it with a good one.
- $\diamond$  ++ The quality control of manufacturing each part is much easier.
- The design of such a product with many replaceable parts are not trivial. It certainly increases the design/manufacturing cost and thus the price/competitive capability of the product.
- ++ However, you can see that this is a cost efficient strategy to make a product work for a few years and your customers satisfied.
- Ask yourself a question: Is the technology not good to glue everything together as a whole? to make the product more monolithic, more tasteful, more handy, more style of future

#### Summary

- ♦ There are many OOA / OOD methodologies since '80s.
- After a major unification of *Jacobson*, *Booch*, and *Rumbaugh* in the '90s, we have the UML, Unified Modeling Language for describing the OO design artifacts and the design process (the methodology) associated with it.
- In this course, we will focus on OOP, especially on how
   C++ provides features for implementing your OO design.
- We will try to elaborate those OO concepts provided by the implementation language: namely, *objects*, *abstraction*, *interface*, *encapsulation*, *inheritance*, *polymorphism*, generic programming (the *templates*), and *exceptions*.
  You are encouraged to browse the OOA, OOD stuffs.