- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

# **The Big Three**



C++ Object Oriented Programming Pei-yih Ting NTOUCS

#### Contents

- ♦ Destructor
- ♦ Copy constructor
- ♦ Assignment operator
- $\diamond$  Move constructor (C++11)
- $\diamond$  Move assignment operator (C++11)
- ♦ The managed pointer

When the class has the functionality of resource management, it is very likely that the destructor (dtor), the copy constructor (copy ctor), and the assignment operator occur together.

#### called the BIG 3

- When the class has the functionality of resource management, it is very likely that the destructor (dtor), the copy constructor (copy ctor), and the assignment operator occur together.
- ♦ Resource management: ex.

called the BIG 3

class Account {
 public:

private: char \*m\_name; char \*m\_phone; char \*m\_address; };

- When the class has the functionality of resource management, it is very likely that the destructor (dtor), the copy constructor (copy ctor), and the assignment operator occur together.
- ♦ Resource management: ex.

#### called the BIG 3

class Account {
 public:
 Account(const char \*name, const char \*phone, const char \*address);

```
private:
    char *m_name;
    char *m_phone;
    char *m_address;
```

#### remote ownership

};

Account::Account(const char \*name, const char \*phone, const char \*address) {
 m\_name = new char[strlen(name)+1]; strcpy(m\_name, name);
 m\_phone = new char[strlen(phone)+1]; strcpy(m\_phone, phone);
 m\_address = new char[strlen(address)+1]; strcpy(m\_address, address);

- When the class has the functionality of resource management, it is very likely that the destructor (dtor), the copy constructor (copy ctor), and the assignment operator occur together.
- ♦ Resource management: ex.

dtor

#### called the BIG 3

```
class Account {
public:
  Account(const char *name, const char *phone, const char *address);
  ~Account():
private:
  char *m name;
  char *m_phone;
                                                 remote ownership
  char *m_address;
};
Account:Account(const char *name, const char *phone, const char *address) {
  m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1]; strcpy(m_name, name);
  m_phone = new char[strlen(phone)+1]; strcpy(m_phone, phone);
m_address = new char[strlen(address)+1]; strcpy(m_address, address);
Account::~Account() {
```



#### $\diamond$ What is a copy constructor? X(X&)

What is a copy constructor? X(X&)
 Account(Account &src); and Account(const Account &src);

- What is a copy constructor? X(X&) Account(Account &src); and Account(const Account &src);
- ♦ When is the copy constructor invoked?

- What is a copy constructor? X(X&) Account(Account &src); and Account(const Account &src);
- When is the copy constructor invoked? object being copied

- What is a copy constructor? X(X&) Account(Account &src); and Account(const Account &src);
- When is the copy constructor invoked? object being copied
   Case 1: Account customer1("Sean Pan",

"123-4567890", "1234 Sunset Blvd.");

Account customer2(customer1);

Account customer3 = customer1;

- What is a copy constructor? X(X&) Account(Account &src); and Account(const Account &src);
- When is the copy constructor invoked? object being copied
   Case 1: Account customer1("Sean Pan",

"123-4567890", "1234 Sunset Blvd.");

- Account customer2(customer1);
- Account customer3 = customer1;
- **Case 2**: void fun1(Account customer) {

- What is a copy constructor? X(X&)
   Account(Account &src); and Account(const Account &src);
- When is the copy constructor invoked? object being copied
   Case 1: Account customer1("Sean Pan",

"123-4567890", "1234 Sunset Blvd.");

Account customer2(customer1);

Account customer3 = customer1;

Case 2: void fun1(Account customer) {

Case 3: Account fun2() {
 Account x;

return x;

♦ If copy ctor is not defined, compiler will synthesize one for you.

If copy ctor is not defined, compiler will synthesize one for you.
This synthesized copy ctor copies all the bits of the object.

- ♦ If copy ctor is not defined, compiler will synthesize one for you.
- ♦ This synthesized copy ctor copies all the bits of the object.
- For many cases this implementation is good but for a class which allocates memory or handles other resources itself, this usually leads to errors. A trap to *dangling reference*.

- ♦ If copy ctor is not defined, compiler will synthesize one for you.
- ♦ This synthesized copy ctor copies all the bits of the object.
- For many cases this implementation is good but for a class which allocates memory or handles other resources itself, this usually leads to errors. A trap to *dangling reference*.

customer 1



- ♦ If copy ctor is not defined, compiler will synthesize one for you.
- ♦ This synthesized copy ctor copies all the bits of the object.
- For many cases this implementation is good but for a class which allocates memory or handles other resources itself, this usually leads to errors. A trap to *dangling reference*.



- ♦ If copy ctor is not defined, compiler will synthesize one for you.
- ♦ This synthesized copy ctor copies all the bits of the object.
- For many cases this implementation is good but for a class which allocates memory or handles other resources itself, this usually leads to errors. A trap to *dangling reference*.



- ♦ If copy ctor is not defined, compiler will synthesize one for you.
- ♦ This synthesized copy ctor copies all the bits of the object.
- For many cases this implementation is good but for a class which allocates memory or handles other resources itself, this usually leads to errors. A trap to *dangling reference*.



- ♦ If copy ctor is not defined, compiler will synthesize one for you.
- ♦ This synthesized copy ctor copies all the bits of the object.
- For many cases this implementation is good but for a class which allocates memory or handles other resources itself, this usually leads to errors. A trap to *dangling reference*.

customer 2 m\_name m\_phone m\_address

#### Is this really we want?

♦ Consider the following codes

#### ♦ Consider the following codes

```
void main() {
    Account customer("Sean Pan", "123-4567890", "1234 sunset Blvd.");
    ...
    fun(customer);
    ...
    customer.display(); // show all the customer information
}
```

#### ♦ Consider the following codes

. . . .

```
void main() {
    Account customer("Sean Pan", "123-4567890", "1234 sunset Blvd.");
    ...
    fun(customer);
    ...
    customer.display(); // show all the customer information
}
```

#### void fun(Account customerLocal) {

} // the dtor would deallocate the memory belongs to customerLocal
 // however, these memory blocks are the same as those of customer

#### ♦ Consider the following codes

. . . .

```
void main() {
    Account customer("Sean Pan", "123-4567890", "1234 sunset Blvd.");
    ...
    fun(customer);
    ...
    customer.display(); // show all the customer information
}
```

#### void fun(Account customerLocal) {

} // the dtor would deallocate the memory belongs to customerLocal // however, these memory blocks are the same as those of customer

The statement fun(customer) would cause dangling reference and the statement customer.display() would access memory blocks previously belonged to this customer object and display some strange contents.

♦ Sometimes, the resource might be unexpectedly released, ex.

♦ Sometimes, the resource might be unexpectedly released, ex.

V(	oid main() {
	<pre>ifstream infile("input.dat");</pre>
	readFile(infile);
	•••
}	

#### ♦ Sometimes, the resource might be unexpectedly released, ex.

void main() {	
ifstream infile("input.dat");	
•••	
readFile(infile);	
•••	
<b>`</b>	

void readFile(ifstream is) {

•••

• • •

#### ♦ Sometimes, the resource might be unexpectedly released, ex.

<pre>void main() {</pre>	
ifstream infile("input.dat");	
•••	
readFile(infile);	
•••	

void readFile(ifstream is) {

VC 2010 does not allow this

♦ Sometimes, the resource might be unexpectedly released, ex.



 This is a complex problem. The program will have runtime error. Why does the error occurs? You won't be able to correct this by supplying a copy constructor for ifstream because it is a library class. The only thing you can easily do is not invoking the copy ctor by passing the parameter with reference.

#### Account::Account(const Account &src) {

m\_name = new char[strlen(src.m\_name)+1]; strcpy(m\_name, src.m\_name); m\_phone = new char[strlen(src.m\_phone)+1]; strcpy(m\_phone, src.m\_phone); m\_address = new char[strlen(src.m\_address)+1]; strcpy(m\_address, src.m\_address);

Account::Account(const Account &src) {

m\_name = new char[strlen(src.m\_name)+1]; strcpy(m\_name, src.m\_name); m\_phone = new char[strlen(src.m\_phone)+1]; strcpy(m\_phone, src.m\_phone); m\_address = new char[strlen(src.m\_address)+1]; strcpy(m\_address, src.m\_address);

 Copy ctor is a kind of ctor. You should use initialization list whenever possible. Especially, you should invoke the base class copy ctor if it is a derived class. You should invoke the component class copy ctor if it contains a member object.

Account::Account(const Account &src) {

m\_name = new char[strlen(src.m\_name)+1]; strcpy(m\_name, src.m\_name); m\_phone = new char[strlen(src.m\_phone)+1]; strcpy(m\_phone, src.m\_phone); m\_address = new char[strlen(src.m\_address)+1]; strcpy(m\_address, src.m\_address);

 Copy ctor is a kind of ctor. You should use initialization list whenever possible. Especially, you should invoke the base class copy ctor if it is a derived class. You should invoke the component class copy ctor if it contains a member object.

In a copy ctor, you are initiating an object from another object.
 The memory space for the object is allocated by the system.

Account::Account(const Account &src) {

m\_name = new char[strlen(src.m\_name)+1]; strcpy(m\_name, src.m\_name); m\_phone = new char[strlen(src.m\_phone)+1]; strcpy(m\_phone, src.m\_phone); m\_address = new char[strlen(src.m\_address)+1]; strcpy(m\_address, src.m\_address);

- Copy ctor is a kind of ctor. You should use initialization list whenever possible. Especially, you should invoke the base class copy ctor if it is a derived class. You should invoke the component class copy ctor if it contains a member object.
- In a copy ctor, you are initiating an object from another object.
   The memory space for the object is allocated by the system.
- If you want to forbid public usage of call-by-value semantics of an object, you can declare a private copy ctor for that class.

### **Member Object and Base Class**

 Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list

## **Member Object and Base Class**

- Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- ♦ For example:

. . .

```
class Derived: public Base {
  public:
```

```
...
private:
Component m_obj;
```

**};**
- Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- ♦ For example:

```
class Derived: public Base {
  public:
```

```
...
Derived(const Derived &src);
...
private:
   Component m_obj;
```

```
};
```

- Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- ♦ For example:

```
class Derived: public Base {
 public:
    Derived(const Derived &src);
    ...
 private:
    Component m_obj;
}
```

```
};
```

```
Derived::Derived(const Derived &src)
      : Base(src), m_obj(src.m_obj) {
    ...
}
```

- Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- ♦ For example:

```
class Derived: public Base {
 public:
    Derived(const Derived &src);
    ...
 private:
    Component m_obj;
}
```

**};** 

Derived::Derived(const Derived &src)
 : Base(src), m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {
 ...
 You have to chain manually.

- Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- ♦ For example:

```
class Derived: public Base {
  public:
    ...
```

```
Derived(const Derived &src);
...
private:
Component m_obj;
```

```
};
```

Derived::Derived(const Derived &src)
 : Base(src), m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {
 ...
 You have to chain manually.
 Compiler supplied copy ctor also chains correctly.

....

- Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- ♦ For example:

```
class Derived: public Base {
```

public:

```
...
Derived(const Derived &src);
```

```
private:
```

. . .

```
Component m_obj;
```

**};** 

Derived::Derived(const Derived &src)
 : Base(src), m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {

You have to chain manually. Compiler supplied copy ctor also chains correctly.

Note: Derived::Derived(const Derived &src) : m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {

. . .

- Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- For example: class Derived: public Base {

public:

```
...
Derived(const Derived &src);
```

private:

```
Component m_obj;
```

**};** 

Derived::Derived(const Derived &src)
 : Base(src), m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {
 ...
 You have to chain manually.

Compiler supplied copy ctor also chains correctly.

Compiler adds **Base(**) invocation **Note:** automatically **Derived::Derived(const Derived &src) ````:m\_obj(src.m\_obj)** {

20-9

. . .

- Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- For example:
   class Derived: public Base {
   public:

... Derived(const Derived &src);

private:

. . .

. . .

```
Component m_obj;
```

**};** 

Derived::Derived(const Derived &src) {

```
Derived::Derived(const Derived &src)
```

- : Base(src), m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {
- You have to chain manually. Compiler supplied copy ctor also chains correctly.

20-9

. . .

- Copy constructor is a constructor, member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- For example:
   class Derived: public Base {
   public:

... Derived(const Derived &src);

private:

. . .

Component m\_obj;

**};** 

....

**Derived::Derived**(const Derived &src) {

Derived::Derived(const Derived &src)
 : Base(src), m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {

Compiler adds **Base()**, **m\_obj()** invocations automatically

You have to chain manually. Compiler supplied copy ctor also chains correctly.

♦ When/where is the assignment operator invoked?

 When/where is the assignment operator invoked? Account customer1("abc", "1234", "ABC street"); Account customer2, customer3; // assume default ctor defined

 When/where is the assignment operator invoked? Account customer1("abc", "1234", "ABC street"); Account customer2, customer3; // assume default ctor defined customer2 = customer1;

 When/where is the assignment operator invoked? Account customer1("abc", "1234", "ABC street"); Account customer2, customer3; // assume default ctor defined customer2 = customer1; customer2.operator=(customer1);

When/where is the assignment operator invoked?
 Account customer1("abc", "1234", "ABC street");
 Account customer2, customer3; // assume default ctor defined
 customer2 = customer1;
 customer2.operator=(customer1);
 customer3 = customer2 = customer1;

When/where is the assignment operator invoked?
 Account customer1("abc", "1234", "ABC street");
 Account customer2, customer3; // assume default ctor defined
 customer2 = customer1;
 customer2.operator=(customer1);
 customer3 = customer2 = customer1;

When/where is the assignment operator invoked?
 Account customer1("abc", "1234", "ABC street");
 Account customer2, customer3; // assume default ctor defined
 customer2 = customer1;
 customer2.operator=(customer1);
 customer3 = customer2 = customer1;

- ♦ What is its prototypes?

Account & operator=(const Account & rhs);

When/where is the assignment operator invoked?
 Account customer1("abc", "1234", "ABC street");
 Account customer2, customer3; // assume default ctor defined
 customer2 = customer1;
 customer2.operator=(customer1);
 customer3 = customer2 = customer1;

 $\diamond$  Note: Account customer2 = customer1;

does **not** invoke the assignment operator

♦ What is its prototypes?

Account & operator=(const Account & rhs);

No extra copy ctor invoked

When/where is the assignment operator invoked?
 Account customer1("abc", "1234", "ABC street");
 Account customer2, customer3; // assume default ctor defined
 customer2 = customer1;
 customer2.operator=(customer1);
 customer3 = customer2 = customer1;

 $\diamond$  Note: Account customer2 = customer1;

does **not** invoke the assignment operator

♦ What is its prototypes?

Account & operator=(const Account & rhs);

Designed for continuously assignment No extra copy ctor invoked customer3.operator=(customer2.operator=(customer1));

When/where is the assignment operator invoked?
 Account customer1("abc", "1234", "ABC street");
 Account customer2, customer3; // assume default ctor defined
 customer2 = customer1;
 customer2.operator=(customer1);
 customer3 = customer2 = customer1;

 $\diamond$  Note: Account customer2 = customer1;

does **not** invoke the assignment operator

♦ What is its prototypes?

Account & operator=(const Account & rhs);

No extra copy ctor invoked Designed for continuously assignment customer3.operator=(customer2.operator=(customer1)); Note: this does not contradict

♦ Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.

- Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.
- ♦ There are seven important things to do in an assignment operator

- Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.
- There are seven important things to do in an assignment operator Account &Account::operator=(const Account &rhs)

- Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.

① if (&rhs == this) return \*this;

- Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.
- There are seven important things to do in an assignment operator Account &Account::operator=(const Account &rhs)

Detecting self assignments

- if (&rhs == this) return \*this;←
- delete[] m\_name; delete[] m\_phone; delete[] m\_address;

- Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.
- There are seven important things to do in an assignment operator Account &Account::operator=(const Account &rhs)

Detecting self assignments

if (&rhs == this) return \*this;<

(1)

3

- delete[] m\_name; delete[] m\_phone; delete[] m\_address;
- m\_name = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_name)+1];
- m\_phone new char[strlen(rhs.m\_phone)+1];
  - m\_address = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_address)+1];

- Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.
- There are seven important things to do in an assignment operator Account &Account::operator=(const Account &rhs)

Detecting self assignments

if (&rhs == this) return \*this;<

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- delete[] m\_name; delete[] m\_phone; delete[] m\_address;
  - m\_name = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_name)+1];
- ③ { m\_phone new char[strlen(rhs.m\_phone)+1]; m\_address = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_address)+1];
  - strcpy(m\_name, rhs.m\_name);
- **4** { strcpy(m\_phone, rhs.m\_phone); strcpy(m\_address, rhs.m\_address);

- Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.
- There are seven important things to do in an assignment operator Account &Account::operator=(const Account &rhs)

Detecting self assignments

if (&rhs == this) return \*this;←

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- delete[] m\_name; delete[] m\_phone; delete[] m\_address;
  - m\_name = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_name)+1];
- ③ { m\_phone new char[strlen(rhs.m\_phone)+1]; m\_address = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_address)+1];
  - strcpy(m\_name, rhs.m\_name);
- (4) { strcpy(m\_phone, rhs.m\_phone);
  - strcpy(m\_address, rhs.m\_address);
- **5** // invoke the base class assignment operator

- Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.
- There are seven important things to do in an assignment operator Account &Account::operator=(const Account &rhs)

Detecting self assignments

if (&rhs == this) return \*this;←

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- delete[] m\_name; delete[] m\_phone; delete[] m\_address;
  - m\_name = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_name)+1];
- 3 { m\_phone new char[strlen(rhs.m\_phone)+1]; m\_address = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_address)+1];
  - strcpy(m\_name, rhs.m\_name);
- (4) { strcpy(m\_phone, rhs.m\_phone);
  - t strcpy(m\_address, rhs.m\_address);
- **(5)** // invoke the base class assignment operator
- 6 // invoke the component object assignment operator

- Again, if the class being designed allocates its own resources. It is quite often to see the dtor, copy ctor, and the assignment operator occur together.
- There are seven important things to do in an assignment operator Account &Account::operator=(const Account &rhs)

Detecting self assignments

- if (&rhs == this) return \*this;←
- delete[] m\_name; delete[] m\_phone; delete[] m\_address;
  - m\_name = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_name)+1];
- ③ { m\_phone new char[strlen(rhs.m\_phone)+1]; m\_address = new char[strlen(rhs.m\_address)+1];
  - strcpy(m\_name, rhs.m\_name);
- (4) { strcpy(m\_phone, rhs.m\_phone);
  - t strcpy(m\_address, rhs.m\_address);
  - // invoke the base class assignment operator

// invoke the component object assignment operator

return \*this;

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

(5) (6)

 $\overline{7}$ 

 You can declare the assignment operator in the private section to forbid public usage of the assignment semantics.

- You can declare the assignment operator in the private section to forbid public usage of the assignment semantics.
- If there is a reference variable or a const variable defined in the class, there is no way to define the assignment operator.

- You can declare the assignment operator in the private section to forbid public usage of the assignment semantics.
- If there is a reference variable or a const variable defined in the class, there is no way to define the assignment operator.
- Usually, the assignment operator repeats the codes both in the copy ctor and the dtor. It is common to prepare common functions to be called in assignment operator, copy ctor and the dtor.

- You can declare the assignment operator in the private section to forbid public usage of the assignment semantics.
- ♦ If there is a reference variable or a const variable defined in the class, there is no way to define the assignment operator.
- Usually, the assignment operator repeats the codes both in the copy ctor and the dtor. It is common to prepare common functions to be called in assignment operator, copy ctor and the dtor.
- The Big 3 are never inherited because based class functions are not sufficient to initialize, copy, or destroy a derived instance.

- You can declare the assignment operator in the private section to forbid public usage of the assignment semantics.
- ♦ If there is a reference variable or a const variable defined in the class, there is no way to define the assignment operator.
- Usually, the assignment operator repeats the codes both in the copy ctor and the dtor. It is common to prepare common functions to be called in assignment operator, copy ctor and the dtor.
- The Big 3 are never inherited because based class functions are not sufficient to initialize, copy, or destroy a derived instance.
- ♦ Three make a team. Do not forget any one of them.

#### **Managed Pointer**

♦ template class auto\_ptr<T>:

#include <memory>

#### **Managed Pointer**

template class auto\_ptr<T>: #include <memory>
 auto\_ptr<Fred> acts like a Fred\* except that it owns the
 referent (the Fred object)

#### **Managed Pointer**

template class auto\_ptr<T>: #include <memory> auto\_ptr<Fred> acts like a Fred\* except that it owns the referent (the Fred object)
1. Declare a managed pointer with NULL value

auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr;
- template class auto\_ptr<T>: #include <memory>
  auto\_ptr<Fred> acts like a Fred\* except that it owns the
  referent (the Fred object)
  - Declare a managed pointer with NULL value auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr;
  - 2. Invoke the assignment operator later
    ptr = auto\_ptr<Fred>(new Fred());

- template class auto\_ptr<T>: #include <memory>
  auto\_ptr<Fred> acts like a Fred\* except that it owns the
  referent (the Fred object)
  - Declare a managed pointer with NULL value auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr;
  - 2. Invoke the assignment operator later
    ptr = auto\_ptr<Fred>(new Fred());
  - 3. Construct a managed pointer with a pointer auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr(new Fred()); or auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr = new Fred();

- template class auto\_ptr<T>: #include <memory>
  auto\_ptr<Fred> acts like a Fred\* except that it owns the
  referent (the Fred object)
  - Declare a managed pointer with NULL value auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr;
  - 2. Invoke the assignment operator later
    ptr = auto\_ptr<Fred>(new Fred());
  - 3. Construct a managed pointer with a pointer auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr(new Fred()); or auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr = new Fred();
  - 4. Can be used anywhere like a Fred\* pointer
    ptr->services(); or (\*ptr).services();

- template class auto\_ptr<T>: #include <memory>
  auto\_ptr<Fred> acts like a Fred\* except that it owns the
  referent (the Fred object)
  - Declare a managed pointer with NULL value auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr;
  - 2. Invoke the assignment operator later
    ptr = auto\_ptr<Fred>(new Fred());
  - 3. Construct a managed pointer with a pointer auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr(new Fred()); or auto\_ptr<Fred> ptr = new Fred();
  - 4. Can be used anywhere like a Fred\* pointer
    ptr->services(); or (\*ptr).services();
  - 5. Retrieve the raw Fred pointer
    Fred \*ptrRaw = ptr.get();

6. Copy ctor is implemented with **ownership transfer** (surprise!!) **auto\_ptr<Fred> newPtr = ptr;** or newPtr now owns the Fred

auto\_ptr<Fred> newPtr(ptr);

newPtr now owns the Fred object originally owned by ptr, ptr will point to the same object afterwards but will not own it anymore.

6. Copy ctor is implemented with **ownership transfer** (surprise!!) **auto\_ptr<Fred> newPtr = ptr;** or newPtr now owns the Fred **auto\_ptr<Fred> newPtr(ptr);** object originally owned by

newPtr now owns the Fred object originally owned by ptr, ptr will point to the same object afterwards but will not own it anymore.

7. When this object goes out of scope, its dtor will delete the owned Fred object.

6. Copy ctor is implemented with **ownership transfer** (surprise!!) **auto\_ptr<Fred> newPtr = ptr;** or newPtr now owns the Fred **auto\_ptr<Fred> newPtr(ptr);** object originally owned by

- newPtr now owns the Fred object originally owned by ptr, ptr will point to the same object afterwards but will not own it anymore.
- 7. When this object goes out of scope, its dtor will delete the owned Fred object.
- 8. What about an explicit delete? delete ptr; // syntax error, do not new an auto\_ptr, do not keep the raw Fred pointer, pass by reference to a function

6. Copy ctor is implemented with **ownership transfer** (surprise!!) **auto\_ptr<Fred> newPtr = ptr;** or **auto\_ptr<Fred> newPtr(ptr);** or object originally owned by

- newPtr now owns the Fred object originally owned by ptr, ptr will point to the same object afterwards but will not own it anymore.
- 7. When this object goes out of scope, its dtor will delete the owned Fred object.
- 8. What about an explicit delete? delete ptr; // syntax error, do not new an auto\_ptr, do not keep the raw Fred pointer, pass by reference to a function
- 9. If you copy the managed pointer from another managed pointer without ownership to the real object, the new managed pointer does not have ownership to the real object. If you construct a new managed pointer with a raw pointer twice, both objects have ownership. Fortunately, delete in its dtor will only succeed once. But using a pointer without ownership to the real object is likely to be a dangling reference like a raw pointer.

\* auto\_ptr is part of C++98, C++03 and is more commonly called a smart pointer, do not get confused with operator-> overloading

- \* auto\_ptr is part of C++98, C++03 and is more commonly called a smart pointer, do not get confused with operator-> overloading
- auto\_ptr implements copy and assignment with implicit ownership transfer due to the lack of *move semantics* in C++98/03. The compiler allows you to pass an auto\_ptr by value to a function, the original auto\_ptr would lose the ownership and **O** the managed resource is going to be deleted as the function exits unless another auto\_ptr is returned back. **2** auto\_ptr cannot manage an array and **3** cannot be used in a container.

- \* auto\_ptr is part of C++98, C++03 and is more commonly called a smart pointer, do not get confused with operator-> overloading
- auto\_ptr implements copy and assignment with implicit ownership transfer due to the lack of *move semantics* in C++98/03. The compiler allows you to pass an auto\_ptr by value to a function, the original auto\_ptr would lose the ownership and **①** the managed resource is going to be deleted as the function exits unless another auto\_ptr is returned back. **②** auto\_ptr cannot manage an array and **③** cannot be used in a container.

♦ Do NOT use auto\_ptr!!

- auto\_ptr is part of C++98, C++03 and is more commonly called a smart pointer, do not get confused with operator-> overloading
- auto\_ptr implements copy and assignment with implicit ownership transfer due to the lack of *move semantics* in C++98/03. The compiler allows you to pass an auto\_ptr by value to a function, the original auto\_ptr would lose the ownership and **①** the managed resource is going to be deleted as the function exits unless another auto\_ptr is returned back. **②** auto\_ptr cannot manage an array and **③** cannot be used in a container.
- ♦ Do NOT use auto\_ptr!!
- ♦ The following smart pointers are designed to replace it
  - \* boost::shared\_ptr, boost::scope\_ptr, boost::shared\_array, boost::scope\_array, boost::weak\_ptr

- auto\_ptr is part of C++98, C++03 and is more commonly called a smart pointer, do not get confused with operator-> overloading
- auto\_ptr implements copy and assignment with implicit ownership transfer due to the lack of *move semantics* in C++98/03. The compiler allows you to pass an auto\_ptr by value to a function, the original auto\_ptr would lose the ownership and **①** the managed resource is going to be deleted as the function exits unless another auto\_ptr is returned back. **②** auto\_ptr cannot manage an array and **③** cannot be used in a container.
- ♦ Do NOT use auto\_ptr!!

♦ The following smart pointers are designed to replace it

- \* boost::shared\_ptr, boost::scope\_ptr, boost::shared\_array, boost::scope\_array, boost::weak\_ptr
- \* C++11: std::shared\_ptr, std::weak\_ptr, std::unique\_ptr