- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •



C++ Object Oriented Programming Pei-yih Ting NTOUCS

#### Contents

#### ♦ Basic Inheritance

- \* Why inheritance
- \* How inheritance works
- \* Protected members
- Constructors and destructors
- \* Derivation tree
- \* Function overriding and hiding
- \* Example class hierarchy

#### Contents

#### ♦ Basic Inheritance

- \* Why inheritance
- \* How inheritance works
- \* Protected members
- \* Constructors and destructors
- \* Derivation tree
- \* Function overriding and hiding
- \* Example class hierarchy

#### ♦ Inheritance Design

- \* Exploring different inheritance structure
- \* Direct solution to reuse code
- Alternative solutions
- \* Better design
- \* Final solutions
- Design rules (IS-A relationship, Proper inheritance)
- \* Dubious designs

 An object-orientated design provides a more natural and systematic framework for specifying and designing a programming solution.

- An object-orientated design provides a more natural and systematic framework for specifying and designing a programming solution.
- Program designs are almost always based on the program specification, i.e. a document describing the exact requirements a program is expected to achieve.

- An object-orientated design provides a more natural and systematic framework for specifying and designing a programming solution.
- Program designs are almost always based on the program specification, i.e. a document describing the exact requirements a program is expected to achieve.
- ♦ Four phases of the object-oriented analysis process:

- An object-orientated design provides a more natural and systematic framework for specifying and designing a programming solution.
- Program designs are almost always based on the program specification, i.e. a document describing the exact requirements a program is expected to achieve.
- Four phases of the object-oriented analysis process:
  The identification of objects from the program specification.

- An object-orientated design provides a more natural and systematic framework for specifying and designing a programming solution.
- Program designs are almost always based on the program specification, i.e. a document describing the exact requirements a program is expected to achieve.
- ♦ Four phases of the object-oriented analysis process:
  - The identification of objects from the program specification.
  - The identification of the attributes and behaviours of these objects.

- An object-orientated design provides a more natural and systematic framework for specifying and designing a programming solution.
- Program designs are almost always based on the program specification, i.e. a document describing the exact requirements a program is expected to achieve.
- ♦ Four phases of the object-oriented analysis process:
  - The identification of objects from the program specification.
  - The identification of the attributes and behaviours of these objects.
  - <u>The identification of any super-classes.</u>

- An object-orientated design provides a more natural and systematic framework for specifying and designing a programming solution.
- Program designs are almost always based on the program specification, i.e. a document describing the exact requirements a program is expected to achieve.
- ♦ Four phases of the object-oriented analysis process:
  - The identification of objects from the program specification.
  - The identification of the attributes and behaviours of these objects.
  - <u>The identification of any super-classes.</u>
  - The specification of the behaviours of the identified classes.

The distinction between an "object-based language" and an "object-oriented language" is the ability to support <u>inheritance</u> (or derivation).

- The distinction between an "object-based language" and an "object-oriented language" is the ability to support <u>inheritance</u> (or derivation).
- Composition/aggregation and inheritance are the most important two ways to construct object hierarchies.

- The distinction between an "object-based language" and an "object-oriented language" is the ability to support <u>inheritance</u> (or derivation).
- Composition/aggregation and inheritance are the most important two ways to construct object hierarchies.
- In the OOA process, after objects are identified from the problem domain and attributes and behaviors are modeled with classes in the analysis process, the next important phase is the identification of super-classes in the problem domain

- The distinction between an "object-based language" and an "object-oriented language" is the ability to support <u>inheritance</u> (or derivation).
- Composition/aggregation and inheritance are the most important two ways to construct object hierarchies.
- In the OOA process, after objects are identified from the problem domain and attributes and behaviors are modeled with classes in the analysis process, the next important phase is the identification of super-classes in the problem domain
- ♦ In the language level, a super-class defines the attributes and behaviors that are common to all its sub-classes.

- The distinction between an "object-based language" and an "object-oriented language" is the ability to support <u>inheritance</u> (or derivation).
- Composition/aggregation and inheritance are the most important two ways to construct object hierarchies.
- In the OOA process, after objects are identified from the problem domain and attributes and behaviors are modeled with classes in the analysis process, the next important phase is the identification of super-classes in the problem domain
- ♦ In the language level, a super-class defines the attributes and behaviors that are common to all its sub-classes.

**Base class** 

**Derived class** 

VS.

- The distinction between an "object-based language" and an "object-oriented language" is the ability to support <u>inheritance</u> (or derivation).
- Composition/aggregation and inheritance are the most important two ways to construct object hierarchies.
- In the OOA process, after objects are identified from the problem domain and attributes and behaviors are modeled with classes in the analysis process, the next important phase is the identification of super-classes in the problem domain
- ♦ In the language level, a super-class defines the attributes and behaviors that are common to all its sub-classes.

Base class		<b>Derived class</b>
Super-class	VS.	Sub-class

- The distinction between an "object-based language" and an "object-oriented language" is the ability to support <u>inheritance</u> (or derivation).
- Composition/aggregation and inheritance are the most important two ways to construct object hierarchies.
- In the OOA process, after objects are identified from the problem domain and attributes and behaviors are modeled with classes in the analysis process, the next important phase is the identification of super-classes in the problem domain
- ♦ In the language level, a super-class defines the attributes and behaviors that are common to all its sub-classes.

Base classDerived classSuper-classvs.Sub-classParent classChild class

- •
- •
- •
- •

### **Basic Inheritance**



♦ Imagine you have a class for describing students

♦ Imagine you have a class for describing students

```
class Student {
public:
    Student();
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
}
```

♦ Imagine you have a class for describing students

```
class Student {
public:
    Student();
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
}
```

};

♦ Want to add fields to handle the requirements for graduate students

♦ Imagine you have a class for describing students

class Student {
public:
 Student();
 ~Student();
 void setData(char \*name, int age);
 int getAge() const;
 const char \*getName() const;
private:
 char \*m\_name;
 int m\_age;

**};** 

class Student { public: Student(); ~Student(); void setData(char \*name, int age, int stipend); int getAge() const; const char \*getName() const; int getStipend() const; private: char \*m\_name; int m\_age; int m\_stipend; };

♦ Want to add fields to handle the requirements for graduate students

♦ Imagine you have a class for describing students

class Student {
public:
 Student();
 ~Student();
 void setData(char \*name, int age);
 int getAge() const;
 const char \*getName() const;
private:
 char \*m\_name;
 int m\_age;

**};** 

class Student { public: Student(); ~Student(); void setData(char \*name, int age, int stipend); int getAge() const; const char \*getName() const; int getStipend() const; private: char \*m\_name; int m\_age; int m\_stipend; };

 Want to add fields to handle the requirements for graduate students What is the problem of this design?

25-7

#### $\diamond$ In the above design

 Student becomes a general purpose class, a set of attributes and interfaces are used for undergraduate students, while another set of attributes and interfaces are used for graduate students
 ... a form with many redundant fields

#### $\diamond$ In the above design

- Student becomes a general purpose class, a set of attributes and interfaces are used for undergraduate students, while another set of attributes and interfaces are used for graduate students
   ... a form with many redundant fields
- \* In the process of this change, all previously developed programs, including those implementations of the Student class and those codes that are the client programs of the Student class, have to be recompiled.... This change is global, not limited to the part you plan to add.

#### $\diamond$ In the above design

- Student becomes a general purpose class, a set of attributes and interfaces are used for undergraduate students, while another set of attributes and interfaces are used for graduate students
   ... a form with many redundant fields
- \* In the process of this change, all previously developed programs, including those implementations of the Student class and those codes that are the client programs of the Student class, have to be recompiled.... This change is global, not limited to the part you plan to add.

**OCP: open-closed principle** 

#### $\diamond$ In the above design

- Student becomes a general purpose class, a set of attributes and interfaces are used for undergraduate students, while another set of attributes and interfaces are used for graduate students
   ... a form with many redundant fields
- \* In the process of this change, all previously developed programs, including those implementations of the Student class and those codes that are the client programs of the Student class, have to be recompiled.... This change is global, not limited to the part you plan to add.

#### **OCP: open-closed principle**

Software entities (classes, modules, functions, etc.) should be open for extension, but closed for modification.

 No redundant members, old codes for Student need only change the name to UnderGraduate.

 No redundant members, old codes for Student need only change the name to UnderGraduate.

#### class Undergraduate {

```
public:
    Undergraduate();
    ~Undergraduate();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
    private:
```

```
char *m_name;
```

int m\_age;

```
};
```

};

 No redundant members, old codes for Student need only change the name to UnderGraduate.

#### class Undergraduate { public:

Undergraduate(); ~Undergraduate(); void setData(char \*name, int age); int getAge() const; const char \*getName() const; private:

char \*m\_name;

int m\_age;

};

 No redundant members, old codes for Student need only change the name to UnderGraduate.

#### class Undergraduate { public:

Undergraduate();
~Undergraduate();
void setData(char \*name, int age);
int getAge() const;
const char \*getName() const;
private:

char \*m\_name;

int m\_age;

};

class Graduate { public: **Graduate();** ~Graduate(); void setData(char \*name, int age, int stipend); int getAge() const; const char \*getName() const; int getStipend() const; private: char \*m\_name; int m\_age; int m\_stipend; };

Why is this still a **poor solution**?

 No redundant members, old codes for Student need only change the name to UnderGraduate.

#### class Undergraduate { public:

Undergraduate();

~Undergraduate();

void setData(char \*name, int age);

int getAge() const;

const char \*getName() const;
private:

char \*m\_name;

int m\_age;

};

char \*m\_name; int m\_age; int m\_stipend;

Why is this still a **poor solution**?

 A client program cannot treat both classes of objects in a uniform way, ex. The library circulation system wants to check which students are holding books overdue, it has to handle undergraduate and graduate students with separate pieces of codes.

 No redundant members, old codes for Student need only change the name to UnderGraduate.

#### class Undergraduate { public:

Undergraduate();

~Undergraduate();

void setData(char \*name, int age);

int getAge() const;

const char \*getName() const;
private:

char \*m\_name;

int m\_age;

};

int m\_age; int m\_stipend;

Why is this still a **poor solution**?

 A client program cannot treat both classes of objects in a uniform way, ex. The library circulation system wants to check which students are holding books overdue, it has to handle undergraduate and graduate students with separate pieces of codes. Also, a lot of redundancy. 25-8

#### **Basic Inheritance in C++**

♦ Declare a class Graduate that is derived from Student

### **Basic Inheritance in C++**

#### ♦ Declare a class Graduate that is derived from Student

```
class Graduate: public Student {
  public:
    Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend);
    int getStipend() const;
  private:
    int m_stipend;
};
```
#### Declare a class Graduate that is derived from Student

```
class Graduate: public Student {
  public:
    Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend);
    int getStipend() const;
    private:
    int m_stipend;
};
```

#### Declare a class Graduate that is derived from Student



#### ♦ Declare a class Graduate that is derived from Student



#### Declare a class Graduate that is derived from Student



All the data members (m\_name and m\_age) and most the member functions (setData(), getAge(), getName()) of class Student are automatically inherited by the Graduate class

#### Declare a class Graduate that is derived from Student



- All the data members (m\_name and m\_age) and most the member functions (setData(), getAge(), getName()) of class Student are automatically inherited by the Graduate class
- ♦ New member functions

#### Declare a class Graduate that is derived from Student



All the data members (m\_name and m\_age) and most the member functions (setData(), getAge(), getName()) of class Student are automatically inherited by the Graduate class

♦ New member functions

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

#### Declare a class Graduate that is derived from Student



All the data members (m\_name and m\_age) and most the member functions (setData(), getAge(), getName()) of class Student are automatically inherited by the Graduate class

♦ New member functions

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

```
int Graduate::getStipend() const {
    return m_stipend;
```

### ♦ Usages:

### ♦ Usages:

Student student; student.setData("Mel", 19); Graduate gradStudent("Ron", 24, 3000);

### ♦ Usages:

Student student; student.setData("Mel", 19); Graduate gradStudent("Ron", 24, 3000);

ctor(), dtor() setData() getAge() getName() • : Student m\_name = "Mel" m\_age = 19

### ♦ Usages:

Student student; student.setData("Mel", 19); Graduate gradStudent("Ron", 24, 3000);

ctor(), dtor() setData() getAge() getName() • : Student m\_name = "Mel" m\_age = 19

• ctor(), dtor()	•: Graduate
getStipend()	: Student
setData()	m_name = "Ron" m_age = 24
getName()	$m_{stipend} = 3000$

### ♦ Usages:

Student student; student.setData("Mel", 19); Graduate gradStudent("Ron", 24, 3000);



### ♦ Usages:

Student student; student.setData("Mel", 19); Graduate gradStudent("Ron", 24, 3000);

: Graduate ctor(), dtor( • : Student ctor(), dtor() getStipend() m name = "Mel" : Student setData()  $m_age = 19$ m\_name = "Ron" getAge() setData()  $m_age = 24$ getName() getAge() getName()  $m_{stipend} = 3000$ 

### ♦ Usages:

Note: A Graduate object **is a** Student object because a Graduate object provides the complete set of **interface** functions of a Student object, i.e., they looks the same from the outside.

student.setData("Mel", 19);

**Student student;** 

Graduate gradStudent("Ron", 24, 3000);



### ♦ Usages:

**Student student;** 

Note: A Graduate object **is a** Student object because a Graduate object provides the complete set of **interface** functions of a Student object, i.e., they looks the same from the outside. Student

student.setData("Mel", 19);

Graduate gradStudent("Ron", 24, 3000);

: Graduate ctor(), dtor( • : Student ctor(), dtor() getStipend() m\_name = "Mel" : Student setData()  $m_age = 19$ m\_name = "Ron" getAge() setData()  $m_age = 24$ getName() getAge() getName()  $m_{stipend} = 3000$ 

 $\bigtriangleup$ 

Graduate

#### ♦ This would be illegal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (m\_age > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;

#### ♦ This would be illegal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (m\_age > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;
}

 Private data member of the base class is implicitly declared/defined but is still kept private from its derived class. (the boundary of base class is maintained)

#### ♦ This would be illegal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (m\_age > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;
}

 Private data member of the base class is implicitly declared/defined but is still kept private from its derived class. (the boundary of base class is maintained)

#### $\diamond$ This is legal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (getAge() > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;



#### ♦ This would be illegal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (m\_age > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;
}

- Private data member of the base class is implicitly declared/defined but is still kept private from its derived class. (the boundary of base class is maintained)
- $\diamond$  This is legal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (getAge() > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;
}



#### ♦ This would be illegal

```
int Graduate::getStipend() const {
    if (m_age > 30)
        return 0;
    return m_stipend;
}
```

- Private data member of the base class is implicitly declared/defined but is still kept private from its derived class. (the boundary of base class is maintained)
- $\diamond$  This is legal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (getAge() > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;

♦ Back to OCP: Did you extend the functionality of the class Student?

#### ♦ This would be illegal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (m\_age > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;
}

- Private data member of the base class is implicitly declared/defined but is still kept private from its derived class. (the boundary of base class is maintained)
- $\diamond$  This is legal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (getAge() > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;

 Back to OCP: Did you extend the functionality of the class Student? Did you edit student.h or student.cpp?

♦ Can we give the derived class access to "private" data of base class?

♦ Can we give the derived class access to "private" data of base class?

class Student {
public:
 Student();
 ~Student();
 void setData(char \*name, int
 age);
 int getAge() const;
 const char \*getName() const;
protected:

```
char *m_name;
int m_age;
```

**};** 

♦ Can we give the derived class access to "private" data of base class?

class Student {
public:
 Student();
 ~Student();
 void setData(char \*name, int
 age);
 int getAge() const;
 const char \*getName() const;
protected:
 char \*m\_name;
 int m\_age;

};

♦ The following is now legal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (m\_age > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;
}

♦ Can we give the derived class access to "private" data of base class?

```
class Student {
public:
    Student();
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int
    age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
protected:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

```
♦ Who can access protected fields?
```

```
♦ The following is now legal
```

```
int Graduate::getStipend() const {
    if (m_age > 30)
        return 0;
    return m_stipend;
}
```

♦ Can we give the derived class access to "private" data of base class?

```
class Student {
public:
    Student();
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int
    age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
protected:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

```
♦ Who can access protected fields?
```

\* base class and friends of base class

♦ The following is now legal

```
int Graduate::getStipend() const {
    if (m_age > 30)
        return 0;
    return m_stipend;
}
```

♦ Can we give the derived class access to "private" data of base class?

```
class Student {
public:
    Student();
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int
    age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
protected:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

```
♦ The following is now legal
```

```
int Graduate::getStipend() const {
    if (m_age > 30)
        return 0;
    return m_stipend;
}
```

- ♦ Who can access protected fields?
  - \* base class and friends of base class
  - derived class and friends of derived classes

♦ Can we give the derived class access to "private" data of base class?

```
class Student {
public:
    Student();
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int
    age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
protected:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

```
♦ Who can access protected fields?
```

- \* base class and friends of base class
- derived class and friends of derived classes

♦ The following is now legal

int Graduate::getStipend() const {
 if (m\_age > 30)
 return 0;
 return m\_stipend;
}

Note: the encapsulation perimeter is enlarged a great deal with "protected" in your design

Most of the member functions of the base class are implicitly inherited by the derived class except

 Most of the member functions of the base class are implicitly inherited by the derived class <u>except</u>

\* The constructor (including copy ctor)

- Most of the member functions of the base class are implicitly inherited by the derived class <u>except</u>
  - \* The constructor (including copy ctor)
  - \* The assignment operator

- Most of the member functions of the base class are implicitly inherited by the derived class <u>except</u>
  - \* The constructor (including copy ctor)
  - \* The assignment operator
  - \* The destructor

- Most of the member functions of the base class are implicitly inherited by the derived class <u>except</u>
  - \* The constructor (including copy ctor)
  - \* The assignment operator
  - \* The destructor
- They are synthesized by the complier again if not explicitly defined.
   The synthesized ctor, dtor, and assignment operator would chain automatically to the function defined in the base class.

### **Inheritance and Constructors**

♦ Rewrite Student using constructor

# **Inheritance and Constructors**

#### ♦ Rewrite Student using constructor

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

# **Inheritance and Constructors**

#### ♦ Rewrite Student using constructor

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

♦ In this case, the constructor for Graduate fails
#### ♦ Rewrite Student using constructor

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

#### ♦ In this case, the constructor for Graduate fails

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

#### Rewrite Student using constructor

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

#### ♦ In this case, the constructor for Graduate fails

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

error C2512: 'Student' : no appropriate default constructor available

#### Rewrite Student using constructor

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

#### ♦ In this case, the constructor for Graduate fails

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

error C2512: 'Student' : no appropriate default constructor available

♦ Why??

#### Rewrite Student using constructor

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

#### > In this case, the constructor for Graduate fails

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

error C2512: 'Student' : no appropriate default constructor available

```
\diamond Why??
```

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : Student(), m\_stipend(stipend) { setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

#### Rewrite Student using constructor

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

#### > In this case, the constructor for Graduate fails

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

error C2512: 'Student' : no appropriate default constructor available

```
♦ Why??
```

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : Student(), m\_stipend(stipend) { setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

Compiler insert this automatically

#### Rewrite Student using constructor

Why??

 $\diamond$ 

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

#### > In this case, the constructor for Graduate fails

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student

error C2512: 'Student' : no appropriate default constructor available

```
Graduate::Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend)
: Student(), m_stipend(stipend) {
setData(name, age); // this is inherited from Student
```

chaining

Compiler insert this automatically

♦ In this case, the correct form of the constructor for Graduate is

#### ♦ In this case, the correct form of the constructor for Graduate is

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend)
 : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // setData() is inherited from Student

#### ♦ In this case, the correct form of the constructor for Graduate is

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) { \_\_\_\_\_\_\_setData(name, age); // setData() is inherited from Student

#### ♦ In this case, the correct form of the constructor for Graduate is

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend)
 : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // setData() is inherited from Student

```
Student::Student(char *name, int age) : m_age(age) {
    m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
    strcpy(m_name, name);
```

#### ♦ In this case, the correct form of the constructor for Graduate is

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend)
 : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // setData() is inherited from Student

```
Student::Student(char *name, int age) : m_age(age) {
    m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
    strcpy(m_name, name);
```

```
    You cannot initialize base class members directly in the initialization
list even if they are public or protected, i.e.
```

#### ♦ In this case, the correct form of the constructor for Graduate is

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend)
 : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // setData() is inherited from Student

```
Student::Student(char *name, int age) : m_age(age) {
    m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
    strcpy(m_name, name);
```

 You cannot initialize base class members directly in the initialization list even if they are public or protected, i.e.

> Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_age(age), m\_stipend(stipend)

#### ♦ In this case, the correct form of the constructor for Graduate is

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend)
 : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // setData() is inherited from Student

```
Student::Student(char *name, int age) : m_age(age) {
    m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
    strcpy(m_name, name);
```

 You cannot initialize base class members directly in the initialization list even if they are public or protected, i.e.

> Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_age(age), m\_stipend(stipend)

error C2614: 'Graduate' : illegal member initialization: 'm\_age' is not a base or member

#### ♦ In this case, the correct form of the constructor for Graduate is

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend)
 : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {
 setData(name, age); // setData() is inherited from Student

```
Student::Student(char *name, int age) : m_age(age) {
    m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
    strcpy(m_name, name);
```

 You cannot initialize base class members directly in the initialization list even if they are public or protected, i.e.

> Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : m\_age(age), m\_stipend(stipend)

error C2614: 'Graduate' : illegal member initialization: 'm\_age' is not a base or member

♦ Base class guarantee

The base class will be fully constructed before the body of the derived class constructor is entered

Copy constructor is also a constructor. Member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list

- Copy constructor is also a constructor. Member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- ♦ For example:

Copy constructor is also a constructor. Member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list

#### ♦ For example:

```
class Derived: public Base {
  public:
```

```
...
Derived(Derived &src);
```

•••

#### private:

```
Component m_obj;
```

```
};
```

 Copy constructor is also a constructor. Member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list

#### ♦ For example:

```
class Derived: public Base {
  public:
```

```
...
Derived(Derived &src);
```

•••

#### private:

. . .

```
Component m_obj;
```

};

Derived::Derived(Derived &src): Base(src), m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {

Copy constructor is also a constructor. Member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list

#### ♦ For example:

```
class Derived: public Base {
  public:
```

... Derived(Derived &src);

•••

#### private:

. . .

```
Component m_obj;
```

```
};
```

Derived::Derived(Derived &src): Base(src), m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {

If you do not define a copy ctor, the compiler would generate one exactly like this.

- Copy constructor is also a constructor. Member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- ♦ For example:

. . .

```
class Derived: public Base {
  public:
```

```
Note:
...
Derived(Derived &src);
...
Private:
Component m_obj;
};
Derived::Derived(Derived &src): Base(src), m_obj(src.m_obj) {
```

If you do not define a copy ctor, the compiler would generate one exactly like this.

- Copy constructor is also a constructor. Member objects and base class must be initialized through initialization list
- ♦ For example:

```
class Derived: public Base {
  public:
```

```
...
Derived(Derived &src);
```

•••

. . .

#### private:

Component m\_obj;

#### Compiler adds **Base()** invocation automatically

Note: Derived::Derived(Derived &src):
 `\_\_\_\_\_m\_obj(src.m\_obj)

**};** 

Derived::Derived(Derived &src): Base(src), m\_obj(src.m\_obj) {

If you do not define a copy ctor, the compiler would generate one exactly like this.

. . .

♦ If we add a dynamically allocated string data member to Graduate to store the student's home address, then Graduate requires a destructor

 If we add a dynamically allocated string data member to Graduate to store the student's home address, then Graduate requires a destructor

```
Student::Student(char *name, int age) : m_age(age) {
    m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
    strcpy(m_name, name);
    cout << ''In Student ctor\n'';</pre>
```

If we add a dynamically allocated string data member to Graduate to store the student's home address, then Graduate requires a destructor

```
Student::Student(char *name, int age) : m_age(age) {
    m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
    strcpy(m_name, name);
    Student;
```

cout << "In Student ctor\n";</pre>

Student::~Student() {
 delete[] m\_name;
 cout << "In Student dtor\n";</pre>

If we add a dynamically allocated string data member to Graduate to store the student's home address, then Graduate requires a destructor

```
Student::Student(char *name, int age) : m_age(age) {
    m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
    strcpy(m_name, name);
    Student
```

cout << "In Student ctor\n";</pre>

Student::~Student() {
 delete[] m\_name;
 cout << "In Student dtor\n";</pre>

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend, char \*address)
 : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {
 m\_address = new char[strlen(address)+1];
 strcpy(m\_address, address);
 cout << ''In Graduate ctor\n'';</pre>

If we add a dynamically allocated string data member to Graduate to store the student's home address, then Graduate requires a destructor

```
Student::Student(char *name, int age) : m_age(age) {
    m_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
    strcpy(m_name, name);
    Student
```

```
cout << "In Student ctor\n";</pre>
```

Student::~Student() {
 delete[] m\_name;
 cout << "In Student dtor\n";</pre>

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend, char \*address)
 : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {
 m\_address = new char[strlen(address)+1];
 strcpy(m\_address, address);
 cout << ''In Graduate ctor\n'';
 Graduate::~Graduate() {
 deleteIl m\_address;
 }
}</pre>

Graduate() {
 delete[] m\_address;
 cout << "In Graduate dtor\n";</pre>

♦ What happens in main()

#### ♦ What happens in main()

void main() {

Graduate student("Michael", 24, 6000, " 8899 Storkes Rd."); cout << student.getName() << " is " << student.getAge() << " years old and " << "has a stipend of " <, student.getStipend() << "dollars.\n" << "His address is " << student.getAddress() << "\n";

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

**In Student ctor** 

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

In Student ctor In Graduate ctor

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

In Student ctor In Graduate ctor Michael is 24 years old and has a stipend of 6000 dollars.

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

In Student ctor In Graduate ctor Michael is 24 years old and has a stipend of 6000 dollars. His address is 8899 Storkes Rd.

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

In Student ctor In Graduate ctor Michael is 24 years old and has a stipend of 6000 dollars. His address is 8899 Storkes Rd. In Graduate dtor

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

In Student ctor In Graduate ctor Michael is 24 years old and has a stipend of 6000 dollars. His address is 8899 Storkes Rd. In Graduate dtor In Student dtor

chaining

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

In Student ctor In Graduate ctor Michael is 24 years old and has a stipend of 6000 dollars. His address is 8899 Storkes Rd. In Graduate dtor In Student dtor

chaining

♦ The compiler automatically calls each dtor when the object dies.
### **Inheritance and Dtors (cont'd)**

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

In Student ctor In Graduate ctor Michael is 24 years old and has a stipend of 6000 dollars. His address is 8899 Storkes Rd. In Graduate dtor In Student dtor

chaining

♦ The compiler automatically calls each dtor when the object dies.

♦ The dtors are invoked in the opposite order of the ctors

### **Inheritance and Dtors (cont'd)**

#### ♦ What happens in main()

The output is:

In Student ctor In Graduate ctor Michael is 24 years old and has a stipend of 6000 dollars. His address is 8899 Storkes Rd. In Graduate dtor In Student dtor

#### chaining

♦ The compiler automatically calls each dtor when the object dies.

- ♦ The dtors are invoked in the opposite order of the ctors
  - \* In destructing the derived object, the base object is still in scope and functioning correctly.

 By default, the compiler adds a "bit-wise copy" assignment operator for every class which you do not define an assignment operator

- By default, the compiler adds a "bit-wise copy" assignment operator for every class which you do not define an assignment operator
- If you have a class hierarchy where a class Derived inherits from a class Base. There are 4 possibilities in defining their assignment operators:



- By default, the compiler adds a "bit-wise copy" assignment operator for every class which you do not define an assignment operator
- If you have a class hierarchy where a class Derived inherits from a class Base. There are 4 possibilities in defining their assignment operators:



1. If both classes do not have assignment operator: both are bit-wise copy

- By default, the compiler adds a "bit-wise copy" assignment operator for every class which you do not define an assignment operator
- If you have a class hierarchy where a class Derived inherits from a class Base. There are 4 possibilities in defining their assignment operators:



- 1. If both classes do not have assignment operator: both are bit-wise copy
- If you define Base& Base::operator=(Base &) but not Derived& Derived::operator=(Derived &), then compiler synthesizes

- By default, the compiler adds a "bit-wise copy" assignment operator for every class which you do not define an assignment operator
- If you have a class hierarchy where a class Derived inherits from a class Base. There are 4 possibilities in defining their assignment operators:



- 1. If both classes do not have assignment operator: both are bit-wise copy
- If you define Base& Base::operator=(Base &) but not Derived& Derived::operator=(Derived &), then compiler synthesizes

Derived& Derived::operator=(Derived &rhs) {
 Base::operator=(rhs); // calling your function

return \*this;

- By default, the compiler adds a "bit-wise copy" assignment operator for every class which you do not define an assignment operator
- If you have a class hierarchy where a class Derived inherits from a class Base. There are 4 possibilities in defining their assignment operators:



- 1. If both classes do not have assignment operator: both are bit-wise copy
- If you define Base& Base::operator=(Base &) but not Derived& Derived::operator=(Derived &), then compiler synthesizes

Derived& Derived::operator=(Derived &rhs) { Base::operator=(rhs); // calling your function

return \*this;

3&4. If you define Derived& Derived::operator=(Derived &rhs) yourself, you have to call Base::operator=(rhs); in Derived::operator=(Derived) no matter it is synthesized or not; otherwise the Base part of the object would not be copied.

- By default, the compiler adds a "bit-wise copy" assignment operator for every class which you do not define an assignment operator
- If you have a class hierarchy where a class Derived inherits from a class Base. There are 4 possibilities in defining their assignment operators:



- 1. If both classes do not have assignment operator: both are bit-wise copy
- If you define Base& Base::operator=(Base &) but not Derived& Derived::operator=(Derived &), then compiler synthesizes

Derived& Derived::operator=(Derived &rhs) { Base::operator=(rhs); // calling your function

return \*this;

3&4. If you define Derived& Derived::operator=(Derived &rhs) yourself, you have to call Base::operator=(rhs); in Derived::operator=(Derived) no matter it is synthesized or not; otherwise the Base part of the object would not be copied.

♦ Let us add a new type of graduate student

#### ♦ Let us add a new type of graduate student

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

#### ♦ Let us add a new type of graduate student

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

```
class Graduate: public Student {
  public:
    Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend);
    int getStipend() const;
  private:
    int m_stipend;
};
```

#### ♦ Let us add a new type of graduate student

```
class Student {
public:
    Student(char *name, int age);
    ~Student();
    void setData(char *name, int age);
    int getAge() const;
    const char *getName() const;
private:
    char *m_name;
    int m_age;
};
```

```
class Graduate: public Student {
  public:
    Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend);
    int getStipend() const;
  private:
    int m_stipend;
};
```

#### Student

#### \* ctor of Student

Student::Student(char \*name, int age) : m\_age(age) {
 m\_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
 strcpy(m\_name, name);

Student

#### \* ctor of Student

Student::Student(char \*name, int age) : m\_age(age) {
 m\_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
 strcpy(m\_name, name);
 dimensional structure structu



#### **\*** ctor of Student

Student::Student(char \*name, int age) : m\_age(age) {
 m\_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
 strcpy(m\_name, name);
 dir



\* ctor of Graduate invokes the ctor of its direct base class - Student Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) { }

#### **\*** ctor of Student

Student::Student(char \*name, int age) : m\_age(age) {
 m\_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
 strcpy(m\_name, name);
 dir



**\*** ctor of Graduate invokes the ctor of its direct base class - Student

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend)
 : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {

#### \* ctor of Student

Student::Student(char \*name, int age) : m\_age(age) {
 m\_name = new char[strlen(name)+1];
 strcpy(m\_name, name);
 dir



#### **\*** ctor of Graduate invokes the ctor of its direct base class - Student

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend)
: Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {

**\*** ctor of ForeignGraduate invokes the ctor of its direct base class - Graduate

#### ctor of Student



**\*** ctor of Graduate invokes the ctor of its direct base class - Student

Graduate::Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend) : Student(name, age), m\_stipend(stipend) {

**\*** ctor of ForeignGraduate invokes the ctor of its direct base class - Graduate

In the previous example, suppose we would like to have a display() member function in the Student class that shows the details of a Student object on the screen, ex.

In the previous example, suppose we would like to have a display() member function in the Student class that shows the details of a Student object on the screen, ex.

void Student::display() const {
 cout << m\_name << " is " << m\_age << "years old.\n";</pre>

In the previous example, suppose we would like to have a display() member function in the Student class that shows the details of a Student object on the screen, ex.

void Student::display() const {
 cout << m\_name << " is " << m\_age << "years old.\n";
}</pre>

The Graduate class automatically inherits this member function.
 However, the output of this function for a Graduate object is in a way short of many important data.

In the previous example, suppose we would like to have a display() member function in the Student class that shows the details of a Student object on the screen, ex.

void Student::display() const {
 cout << m\_name << " is " << m\_age << "years old.\n";
}</pre>

- The Graduate class automatically inherits this member function.
   However, the output of this function for a Graduate object is in a way short of many important data.
- We would like to redefine this function in the derived class –
   Graduate, such that it will show the stipend and address together.

In the previous example, suppose we would like to have a display() member function in the Student class that shows the details of a Student object on the screen, ex.

void Student::display() const {
 cout << m\_name << '' is '' << m\_age << ''years old.\n'';
}</pre>

 The Graduate class automatically inherits this member function. However, the output of this function for a Graduate object is in a way short of many important data.

♦ We would like to redefine this function in the derived class –
 Graduate, such that it will show the stipend and address together.

void Graduate::display() const { // masks the inherited version of display()
 cout << getName() << " is " << getAge() << " years old.\n";
 cout << "He has a stipend of " << m\_stipend << " dollars.\n";
 cout << "His address is " << m\_address << ".\n";</pre>

In the previous example, suppose we would like to have a display() member function in the Student class that shows the details of a Student object on the screen, ex.

void Student::display() const {
 cout << m\_name << '' is '' << m\_age << ''years old.\n'';
}</pre>

The Graduate class automatically inherits this member function.
 However, the output of this function for a Graduate object is in a way short of many important data.

♦ We would like to redefine this function in the derived class –
 Graduate, such that it will show the stipend and address together.

void Graduate::display() const { // masks the inherited version of display()
 cout << getName() << '' is '' << getAge() << '' years old.\n'';
 cout << ''He has a stipend of '' << m\_stipend << '' dollars.\n'';
 cout << ''His address is '' << m\_address << ''.\n'';</pre>

 $\diamond$  Note: **function signature** is exactly the same as in the base class.

♦ Example usage of the previous design:

ctor(), dtor() getAge() getName() display()

• : Student m\_name = "Mel" m\_age = 19







♦ Example usage of the previous design:

Student student1("Alice", 20); Graduate student2("Michael", 24, 6000, "8899 Storkes Rd.");



#### ♦ Example usage of the previous design:

Student student1("Alice", 20); Graduate student2("Michael", 24, 6000, "8899 Storkes Rd.");



```
Student student1("Alice", 20);
Graduate student2("Michael", 24, 6000, "8899 Storkes Rd.");
```



```
Student student1("Alice", 20);
Graduate student2("Michael", 24, 6000, "8899 Storkes Rd.");
```



#### ♦ Example usage of the previous design:

Student student1("Alice", 20); Graduate student2("Michael", 24, 6000, "8899 Storkes Rd.");


#### ♦ Example usage of the previous design:

Student student1("Alice", 20); Graduate student2("Michael", 24, 6000, "8899 Storkes Rd.");



 Note: display() interface usually can enhance the encapsulation, replacing the functionality of trivial accessor functions

Avoid the redundancy of the common code, Student::display(), in the inherited version of display(), Graduate::display(), by

Avoid the redundancy of the common code, Student::display(), in the inherited version of display(), Graduate::display(), by void Graduate::display() const // masks the inherited version of display() {

> cout << "He has a stipend of " << m\_stipend << " dollars.\n"; cout << "His address is " << m\_address << ".\n";</pre>

Avoid the redundancy of the common code, Student::display(), in the inherited version of display(), Graduate::display(), by

void Graduate::display() const // masks the inherited version of display() {
 Student::display(); // invoke the inherited codes
 cout << ''He has a stipend of '' << m\_stipend << '' dollars.\n'';
 cout << ''His address is '' << m\_address << ''.\n'';</pre>

Avoid the redundancy of the common code, Student::display(), in the inherited version of display(), Graduate::display(), by

void Graduate::display() const // masks the inherited version of display() {
 Student::display(); // invoke the inherited codes
 cout << ''He has a stipend of '' << m\_stipend << '' dollars.\n'';
 cout << ''His address is '' << m\_address << ''.\n'';
}</pre>

Avoid the redundancy of the common code, Student::display(), in the inherited version of display(), Graduate::display(), by

void Graduate::display() const // masks the inherited version of display() {
 Student::display(); // invoke the inherited codes
 cout << ''He has a stipend of '' << m\_stipend << '' dollars.\n'';
 cout << ''His address is '' << m\_address << ''.\n'';
}</pre>



Avoid the redundancy of the common code, Student::display(), in the inherited version of display(), Graduate::display(), by

void Graduate::display() const // masks the inherited version of display() {
 Student::display(); // invoke the inherited codes
 cout << ''He has a stipend of '' << m\_stipend << '' dollars.\n'';
 cout << ''His address is '' << m\_address << ''.\n'';
}</pre>



Avoid the redundancy of the common code, Student::display(), in the inherited version of display(), Graduate::display(), by

void Graduate::display() const // masks the inherited version of display() {
 Student::display(); // invoke the inherited codes
 cout << ''He has a stipend of '' << m\_stipend << '' dollars.\n'';
 cout << ''His address is '' << m\_address << ''.\n'';
}</pre>



Avoid the redundancy of the common code, Student::display(), in the inherited version of display(), Graduate::display(), by

void Graduate::display() const // masks the inherited version of display() {
 Student::display(); // invoke the inherited codes
 cout << ''He has a stipend of '' << m\_stipend << '' dollars.\n'';
 cout << ''His address is '' << m\_address << ''.\n'';
}</pre>



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.

 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.

 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.

Example:

Mini

 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.

Example:

Mini Delivery

 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.

Example:



25-25

sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



 sub-class super-class relationship can lead to a class hierarchy or inheritance hierarchy.



#### **Real-World Examples Of Inheritance**

♦ Microsoft Foundation Class Version 6.0

#### **Real-World Examples Of Inheritance**

- ♦ Microsoft Foundation Class Version 6.0
  - \* A tree-style class hierarchy

#### **Real-World Examples Of Inheritance**

- ♦ Microsoft Foundation Class Version 6.0
  - \* A tree-style class hierarchy
- ♦ Java Class Library

 $\diamond$ 

. . .

25-26

#### **Microsoft Foundation Class Library Version 6.0**



- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

# **Inheritance Design**



25-28

# **Exploring Solutions to Inheritance**

♦ The University database program

# **Exploring Solutions to Inheritance**

♦ The University database program


### ♦ The University database program

ctor(), dtor() setData() getAge() getName()





#### ♦ The University database program



#### ♦ The University database program



♦ We would like to add a class Faculty, whose attributes include

#### ♦ The University database program



♦ We would like to add a class Faculty, whose attributes include

{ m\_name m\_age m\_address m\_rank

25-29

#### ♦ The University database program



♦ We would like to add a class Faculty, whose attributes include



#### ♦ The University database program



♦ We would like to add a class Faculty, whose attributes include



#### ♦ The University database program



♦ We would like to add a class Faculty, whose attributes include

m\_name<br/>m\_age<br/>m\_address<br/>m\_rankroom # and building id of the officeMote that there is no stipend.

Should Faculty be derived from Student or Graduate or none of both?

#### ♦ The University database program



♦ We would like to add a class Faculty, whose attributes include

_ m_name	room # and building id of the office
{ m_age	e
m_address	Note that there is no stipend.
m_rank	

- Should Faculty be derived from Student or Graduate or none of both?
- Let us first try inheriting Faculty from Graduate since the two groups have so much data in common

Deriving Faculty from Graduate makes a very efficient reuse of codes

#### Deriving Faculty from Graduate makes a very efficient reuse of codes

```
class Faculty: public Graduate {
  public:
    Faculty(char *name, int age, char *address, char *rank);
    ~Faculty();
    const char *getRank() const;
  private:
    char *m_rank;
};
```

#### Deriving Faculty from Graduate makes a very efficient reuse of codes

```
class Faculty: public Graduate {
  public:
    Faculty(char *name, int age, char *address, char *rank);
    ~Faculty();
    const char *getRank() const;
  private:
    char *m_rank;
};
```





#### ♦ Deriving Faculty from Graduate makes a very efficient reuse of codes

class Faculty: public Graduate {
 public:
 Faculty(char \*name, int age, char \*address, char \*rank);
 ~Faculty();
 const char \*getRank() const;
 private:
 char \*m\_rank;
};

We are forced to ignore Graduate::m\_stipend in ctor



#### ♦ Deriving Faculty from Graduate makes a very efficient reuse of codes



#### ♦ Deriving Faculty from Graduate makes a very efficient reuse of codes



♦ However, the client can still do this

#### ♦ Deriving Faculty from Graduate makes a very efficient reuse of codes



However, the client can still do this
 Faculty prof("Lin", 40, "#2 Bei-Ning", "Associate Professor");
 cout << prof.getStipend();
</pre>

#### ♦ Deriving Faculty from Graduate makes a very efficient reuse of codes



Faculty prof("Lin", 40, "#2 Bei-Ning", "Associate Professor");

cout << prof.getStipend();</pre>

You can spare a data member but cannot turn off an interface of the base class.

#### Deriving Faculty from Graduate makes a very efficient reuse of codes $\diamond$



This is **NOT** a good solution!

25-30

 How about deriving Faculty from Student because Faculty requires all of the data from Student

 How about deriving Faculty from Student because Faculty requires all of the data from Student

```
class Faculty: public Student {
  public:
    Faculty(char *name, int age, char *address, char *rank);
    ~Faculty();
    const char *getRank() const;
    const char *getAddress() const;
  private:
    char *m_address;
    char *m_rank;
 };
```

 How about deriving Faculty from Student because Faculty requires all of the data from Student

```
class Faculty: public Student {
  public:
    Faculty(char *name, int age, char *address, char *rank);
    ~Faculty();
    const char *getRank() const;
    const char *getAddress() const;
    private:
    char *m_address;
    char *m_rank;
  };
```

Faculty

 How about deriving Faculty from Student because Faculty requires all of the data from Student

```
class Faculty: public Student {
```

public:

Faculty(char \*name, int age, char \*address, char \*rank);

```
~Faculty();
const char *getRank() const;
const char *getAddress() const;
private:
char *m_address;
char *m_rank;
};
```



 $\diamond$  What is the problem now?

 How about deriving Faculty from Student because Faculty requires all of the data from Student

```
class Faculty: public Student {
```

public:

Faculty(char \*name, int age, char \*address, char \*rank);

~Faculty(); const char \*getRank() const; const char \*getAddress() const; private: char \*m\_address; char \*m\_rank; };



 $\diamond$  What is the problem now?

\* Faculty duplicates some codes in Graduate: m\_address related

 How about deriving Faculty from Student because Faculty requires all of the data from Student

```
class Faculty: public Student {
```

public:

Faculty(char \*name, int age, char \*address, char \*rank);

~Faculty(); const char \*getRank() const; const char \*getAddress() const; private: char \*m\_address; char \*m\_rank; };



- $\diamond$  What is the problem now?
  - \* Faculty duplicates some codes in Graduate: m\_address related
  - \* What happens if Student adds a field for "undergraduate advisor"?

 How about deriving Faculty from Student because Faculty requires all of the data from Student

```
class Faculty: public Student {
```

public:

Faculty(char \*name, int age, char \*address, char \*rank);

~Faculty(); const char \*getRank() const; const char \*getAddress() const; private: char \*m\_address; char \*m\_rank; };



- ♦ What is the problem now?
  - \* Faculty duplicates some codes in Graduate: m\_address related
  - \* What happens if Student adds a field for "undergraduate advisor"?
  - \* The problem is that Faculty is intrinsically **not** a Student.

 How about deriving Faculty from Student because Faculty requires all of the data from Student

```
class Faculty: public Student {
```

public:

Faculty(char \*name, int age, char \*address, char \*rank);

~Faculty(); const char \*getRank() const; const char \*getAddress() const; private: char \*m\_address; char \*m\_rank; };



#### ♦ What is the problem now?

- \* Faculty duplicates some codes in Graduate: m\_address related
- \* What happens if Student adds a field for "undergraduate advisor"?
- \* The problem is that Faculty is intrinsically **not** a Student.

"Inheritance SHOULD **NOT** be designed based on solely implementation considerations – eg. code reuse."





 Create a Person class and put everything common to all people in that class, all other classes are derived from this class.



Should we eliminate UnderGraduate and use only Person in its place?



- Should we eliminate UnderGraduate and use only Person in its place?
- Should Graduate be derived from Undergraduate?



25-33

Codes related to address could be merged into a single copy. How about encapsulating all data related to the address in the Office class?

- Codes related to address could be merged into a single copy. How about encapsulating all data related to the address in the Office class?
- ♦ Anyone who needs an office can then inherit from Office.



- Codes related to address could be merged into a single copy. How about encapsulating all data related to the address in the Office class?
- ♦ Anyone who needs an office can then inherit from Office.
- But Graduate and Faculty still need to inherit name and age categories so this design forces us to this inheritance



- Codes related to address could be merged into a single copy. How about encapsulating all data related to the address in the Office class?
- ♦ Anyone who needs an office can then inherit from Office.
- But Graduate and Faculty still need to inherit name and age categories so this design forces us to this inheritance




# **Adding an Office Class**

- Codes related to address could be merged into a single copy. How about encapsulating all data related to the address in the Office class?
- ♦ Anyone who needs an office can then inherit from Office.
- But Graduate and Faculty still need to inherit name and age categories so this design forces us to this inheritance





Bad design!! Problematic!!?

# **Adding an Office Class**

- Codes related to address could be merged into a single copy. How about encapsulating all data related to the address in the Office class?
- ♦ Anyone who needs an office can then inherit from Office.
- But Graduate and Faculty still need to inherit name and age categories so this design forces us to this inheritance





Bad design!! Problematic!!? What's wrong?

# **Adding an Office Class**

- Codes related to address could be merged into a single copy. How about encapsulating all data related to the address in the Office class?
- ♦ Anyone who needs an office can then inherit from Office.
- But Graduate and Faculty still need to inherit name and age categories so this design forces us to this inheritance





#### Bad design!! Problematic!!?

#### What's wrong?

- If the Office has a clean() method, The Faculty automatically has a clean() method. What does it mean?
- What if a faculty has two offices?

```
class Office: public Person {
  public:
    Office(char *name, int age, char address);
    ~Office()
```

```
const char *getAddress() const;
```

```
private:
```

```
char *m_address;
```

**};** 

```
class Office: public Person {
  public:
     Office(char *name, int age, char address);
     ~Office()
     const char *getAddress() const;
  private:
     char *m_address;
  };
  class Graduate: public Office {
  public:
     Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend, char *address);
     int getStipend() const;
```

private:

```
int m_stipend;
```

```
};
```

```
class Office: public Person {
public:
  Office(char *name, int age, char address);
  ~Office()
  const char *getAddress() const;
private:
  char *m_address;
};
class Graduate: public Office {
public:
  Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend, char *address);
  int getStipend() const;
private:
  int m_stipend;
};
class Faculty: public Office {
public:
  Faculty(char *name, int age, char *address, char *rank);
  ~Faculty();
  const char *getRank() const;
private:
  char *m_rank;
\.
```

```
class Office: public Person {
public:
  Office(char *name, int age, char address);
  ~Office()
  const char *getAddress() const;
                                                           Poor design!!
private:
  char *m_address;
                                                           Problematic!!?
};
class Graduate: public Office {
public:
  Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend, char *address);
  int getStipend() const;
private:
  int m_stipend;
};
class Faculty: public Office {
public:
  Faculty(char *name, int age, char *address, char *rank);
  ~Faculty();
  const char *getRank() const;
private:
  char *m_rank;
```

25-34





 Instead of having Graduate and Faculty inherit from Office, we store an Office object within each classes



- Instead of having Graduate and Faculty inherit from Office, we store an Office object within each classes
- ♦ The office class exists separately, without involving any inheritance
  - Codes: class Office { public: Office(char \*address); ~Office(); const char \*getAddress() const; private: char \*m\_address; };

 $\diamond$ 

```
class Graduate: public Person {
```

public:

```
Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend, char *address);
```

```
int getStipend() const;
```

```
const char* getAddress() const;
```

#### private:

```
int m_stipend;
Office m_office;
```

```
};
```

#### class Graduate: public Person {

public:

Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend, char \*address);

int getStipend() const;

const char\* getAddress() const;

#### private:

int m\_stipend;
Office m\_office;

**};** 

#### class Faculty: public Person

public:

Faculty(char \*name, int age, char \*address, char \*rank);
 ~Faculty();
 const char\* getAddress() const;
 const char \*getRank() const;
private:
 char \*m\_rank;
 Office m\_office;
};

class Graduate: public Person {
public:
Graduate(char *name, int age, int stipend, char *address); int getStipend() const; const char* getAddress() const;
private: class Faculty: public Person
int m_stipend; / {
Office m_office; / public:
<pre>}; Faculty(char *name, int age, char *address, char *rank); ~Faculty();</pre>
const char* getAddress() const;
const char* Graduate:: const char *getRank() const; private:
getAddress() const { char *m_rank; return m_office.getAddress(); / Office m_office;
}

#### class Graduate: public Person { public: Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend, char \*address); int getStipend() const; const char\* getAddress() const; private: class Faculty: public Person int m\_stipend; **Office m\_office;** public: }; Faculty(char \*name, int age, char \*address, char \*rank); ~Faculty(); delegation const char\* getAddress() const; const char \*getRank() const; const char\* Graduate:: private: getAddress() const { \ char \*m\_rank; return m\_office.getAddress(); **Office m\_office;**

#### class Graduate: public Person { public: Graduate(char \*name, int age, int stipend, char \*address); int getStipend() const; const char\* getAddress() const; private: class Faculty: public Person int m\_stipend; **Office m\_office;** public: }; Faculty(char \*name, int age, char \*address, char \*rank); ~Faculty(); delegation const char\* getAddress() const; const char \*getRank() const; const char\* Graduate:: private: getAddress() const { 🗼 char \*m\_rank; return m\_office.getAddress(); **Office m\_office;** Note: the data part m\_office in Graduate and Faculty is replicated.

However, the code to handle address is reduced to a single copy, i.e. Office::getAddress(). If we want to maintain a single object for the same office, we can use pointer or reference to implement m\_office.

 When the relationships between Graduate or Faculty objects and other objects are common, we can model their relationships within a parent class.

 When the relationships between Graduate or Faculty objects and other objects are common, we can model their relationships within a parent class.



 When the relationships between Graduate or Faculty objects and other objects are common, we can model their relationships within a parent class.



Note: in the above class diagram, each Graduate object or Faculty object has an association with an Office object

 When the relationships between Graduate or Faculty objects and other objects are common, we can model their relationships within a parent class.



 When the relationships between Graduate or Faculty objects and other objects are common, we can model their relationships within a parent class.



If there could be several offices for a certain personnel, the private member could be a container, ex. vector<Office> m\_offices;

Primary guide: Class A should only be derived from Class B if
 Class A is a type of Class B



Primary guide: Class A should only be derived from Class B if
 Class A is a type of Class B
 Person

\* A student is a person





**Primary guide:** Class A should only be derived from Class B if  $\diamond$ Class A is a type of Class B

\* A student is a person



This def is formal but still abstract!! Difficult to follow!

Primary guide: Class A should only be derived from Class B if
 Class A is a type of Class B Person B

\* A student is a person



\* Inheritance is called an IS-A relationship

This def is formal but still abstract!! Difficult to follow!

\* What we mean by "is-a" in programming is "substitutability".



\* What we mean by "is-a" in programming is "substitutability".

Primary guide: Class A should only be derived from Class B if
 Class A is a type of Class B
 Person
 B
 Liskov substitution

\* A student is a person



A

Liskov substitution Principle (LSP)

\* Inheritance is called an IS-A relationship

This def is formal but still abstract!! Difficult to follow!

\* What we mean by "is-a" in programming is "substitutability".
\* Eg. Can an object of type Student be used in whatever place of an object of type Person? This is described in terms of their interfaces (the promises and requirements), instead of their implementations. If yes, Student can inherit Person.

25-38

Primary guide: Class A should only be derived from Class B if
 Class A is a type of Class B
 Person
 B
 Liskov substitution

\* A student is a person



A

Liskov substitution Principle (LSP)

\* Inheritance is called an IS-A relationship

This def is formal but still abstract!! Difficult to follow!

\* What we mean by "is-a" in programming is "substitutability".

 \* Eg. Can an object of type Student be used in whatever place of an object of type Person? This is described in terms of their interfaces (the promises and requirements), instead of their implementations. If yes, Student can inherit Person.

♦ Inheritance should be "natural"

Primary guide: Class A should only be derived from Class B if
 Class A is a type of Class B
 Person
 B
 Liskov substit

\* A student is a person



Liskov substitution Principle (LSP)

\* Inheritance is called an IS-A relationship

This def is formal but still abstract!! Difficult to follow!

- \* What we mean by "is-a" in programming is "substitutability".
- \* Eg. Can an object of type Student be used in whatever place of an object of type Person? This is described in terms of their interfaces (the promises and requirements), instead of their implementations. If yes, Student can inherit Person.
- ♦ Inheritance should be "natural"



**Proper inheritance** 

A

Primary guide: Class A should only be derived from Class B if
 Class A is a type of Class B
 Person
 B
 Liskov substitution

\* A student is a person



A

Liskov substitution Principle (LSP)

\* Inheritance is called an IS-A relationship

This def is formal but still abstract!! Difficult to follow!

- \* What we mean by "is-a" in programming is "substitutability".
- \* Eg. Can an object of type Student be used in whatever place of an object of type Person? This is described in terms of their interfaces (the promises and requirements), instead of their implementations. If yes, Student can inherit Person.
- ♦ Inheritance should be "natural"



Primary guide: Class A should only be derived from Class B if
 Class A is a type of Class B
 Person
 B
 Liskov substit

\* A student is a person



Liskov substitution Principle (LSP)

This def is formal but still abstract!!

Difficult to follow!

\* Inheritance is called an IS-A relationship

\* What we mean by "is-a" in programming is "substitutability".

 \* Eg. Can an object of type Student be used in whatever place of an object of type Person? This is described in terms of their interfaces (the promises and requirements), instead of their implementations. If yes, Student can inherit Person.

♦ Inheritance should be "natural"

\* The second case is a bad inheritance even if Undergraduate is internally identical to Student.



A

**Improper inheritance** 



25-39

Undergraduate m\_advisor

Undergraduate m\_advisor
























 Common code and data between classes can be shared by creating a base class (one of the two primary benefits we can get from



A Never violate the primary objectives for the sake of code sharing.



- Never violate the primary objectives for the sake of code sharing.
- Bad cases of inheritance (improper inheritances) are often cured through composition (containment / aggregation)



- Never violate the primary objectives for the sake of code sharing.
- Bad cases of inheritance (improper inheritances) are often cured through composition (containment / aggregation)



 Common code and data between classes can be shared by creating a base class (one of the two primary benefits we can get from



- Never violate the primary objectives for the sake of code sharing.
- Bad cases of inheritance (improper inheritances) are often cured through composition (containment / aggregation)



This is referred to as the HAS-A relationship. It operates in the form of delegation.

♦ Taken from Deitel & Deitel, C: How to program, p. 736

♦ Taken from Deitel & Deitel, C: How to program, p. 736

```
class Point {
public:
    Point(double x=0, double y=0);
protected:
    double x, y;
};
```

```
♦ Taken from Deitel & Deitel, C: How to program, p. 736
```

```
class Point {
  public:
    Point(double x=0, double y=0);
  protected:
    double x, y;
  };
class Circle: public Point {
  public:
```

```
Circle(double x=0, double y=0, double radius=0);
```

```
void display() const;
```

private:

```
double radius;
```

```
};
```

♦ Taken from Deitel & Deitel, C: How to program, p. 736

```
class Point {
  public:
    Point(double x=0, double y=0);
  protected:
    double x, y;
};
```

```
void Circle::display() {
    cout << ''Center = '' << c.x << '', '' << c.y
        << '']; Radius = '' << radius;</pre>
```

```
class Circle: public Point {
  public:
    Circle(double x=0, double y=0, double radius=0);
    void display() const;
  private:
    double radius;
};
```

♦ Taken from Deitel & Deitel, C: How to program, p. 736

```
class Point {
public:
    Point(double x=0, double y=0);
protected:
    double x, y;
};
```

void Circle::display() {
 cout << ''Center = '' << c.x << '', '' << c.y
 << '']; Radius = '' << radius;</pre>

```
class Circle: public Point {
public:
```

Circle(double x=0, double y=0, double radius=0); void display() const;

private:

double radius;

};

Design rationale: A circle is a type of point, with common data. The radius of a circle is zero or approaching zero. ... Purely mathematical!

♦ Taken from Deitel & Deitel, C: How to program, p. 736

```
class Point {
  public:
    Point(double x=0, double y=0);
  protected:
    double x, y;
};
```

void Circle::display() {
 cout << ''Center = '' << c.x << '', '' << c.y
 << '']; Radius = '' << radius;</pre>

```
class Circle: public Point {
  public:
```

Circle(double x=0, double y=0, double radius=0); void display() const;

private:

```
double radius;
```

};

Design rationale: A circle is a type of point, with common data. The radius of a circle is zero or approaching zero. ... Purely mathematical!

Critiques: A circle is not a point. Instead, a circle has a point corresponding to its center

♦ Taken from Deitel & Deitel, C: How to program, p. 736

```
class Point {
  public:
    Point(double x=0, double y=0);
  protected:
    double x, y;
};
```

void Circle::display() {
 cout << ''Center = '' << c.x << '', '' << c.y
 << '']; Radius = '' << radius;</pre>

```
class Circle: public Point {
  public:
```

Circle(double x=0, double y=0, double radius=0); void display() const;

```
private:
```

```
double radius;
```

};

Design rationale: A circle is a type of point, with common data. The radius of a circle is zero or approaching zero. ... Purely mathematical!

Critiques: A circle is not a point. Instead, a circle has a point corresponding to its center. Substitutability: Can a circle be used as a point in constructing the four corners of a rectangle?

♦ Taken from Deitel & Deitel, C: How to program, p. 736

```
class Point {
  public:
    Point(double x=0, double y=0);
  protected:
    double x, y;
};
```

void Circle::display() {
 cout << ''Center = '' << c.x << '', '' << c.y
 << '']; Radius = '' << radius;</pre>

25-40

```
class Circle: public Point {
  public:
    Circle(double x=0, double y=0, double radius=0);
    void display() const;
  private:
```

```
double radius;
```

- };
- Design rationale: A point is a type of circle, with common data, when the radius of a circle is approaching zero. ... Purely mathematical!
- Critiques: A circle is not a point. Instead, a circle has a point corresponding to its center. Substitutability: Can a circle be used as a point in constructing the four corners of a rectangle? Can a circle be used as the center of another circle?

#### ♦ Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list

♦ Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list

What are the problems?

Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list What are the problems?

★ This stack can then be operated as a linked list, the mechanism of a stack would be completely broken.

- ♦ Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list What are the problems?
  - ☆ This stack can then be operated as a linked list, the mechanism of a stack would be completely broken.
  - If you try to turn off the insert()/delete() interface that could manipulate entries in any order, you basically make the Stack class different from the LinkList base class in terms of operations.

- ♦ Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list What are the problems?
  - ☆ This stack can then be operated as a linked list, the mechanism of a stack would be completely broken.
  - If you try to turn off the insert()/delete() interface that could manipulate entries in any order, you basically make the Stack class different from the LinkList base class in terms of operations. Client codes break!

- ♦ Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list What are the problems?
  - ☆ This stack can then be operated as a linked list, the mechanism of a stack would be completely broken.
  - If you try to turn off the insert()/delete() interface that could manipulate entries in any order, you basically make the Stack class different from the LinkList base class in terms of operations. Client codes break! A Stack IS-NOT a LinkList.

- ♦ Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list What are the problems?
  - ☆ This stack can then be operated as a linked list, the mechanism of a stack would be completely broken.
  - If you try to turn off the insert()/delete() interface that could manipulate entries in any order, you basically make the Stack class different from the LinkList base class in terms of operations. Client codes break! A Stack IS-NOT a LinkList.
- ♦ Ex 2: A file pathname class derived from a string class

- ♦ Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list What are the problems?
  - ☆ This stack can then be operated as a linked list, the mechanism of a stack would be completely broken.
  - If you try to turn off the insert()/delete() interface that could manipulate entries in any order, you basically make the Stack class different from the LinkList base class in terms of operations. Client codes break! A Stack IS-NOT a LinkList.
- Ex 2: A file pathname class derived from a string class
   note: a pathname IS indeed implemented by a string, but it is a special string that cannot be longer than 32 characters

- ♦ Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list What are the problems?
  - ☆ This stack can then be operated as a linked list, the mechanism of a stack would be completely broken.
  - If you try to turn off the insert()/delete() interface that could manipulate entries in any order, you basically make the Stack class different from the LinkList base class in terms of operations. Client codes break! A Stack IS-NOT a LinkList.
- Ex 2: A file pathname class derived from a string class
   note: a pathname IS indeed implemented by a string, but it is a special string that cannot be longer than 32 characters

Design rule: The derived class extends the base class



- ♦ Ex 1: A stack derived from a linked list What are the problems?
  - ☆ This stack can then be operated as a linked list, the mechanism of a stack would be completely broken.
  - If you try to turn off the insert()/delete() interface that could manipulate entries in any order, you basically make the Stack class different from the LinkList base class in terms of operations. Client codes break! A Stack IS-NOT a LinkList.
- Ex 2: A file pathname class derived from a string class
   note: a pathname IS indeed implemented by a string, but it is a special string that cannot be longer than 32 characters

Design rule: The derived class extends the base class, not the other way around.
specialization





25-41

To design a Shape inheritance hierarchy

♦ What are the common operations you want to perform on all Shapes

- ♦ What are the common operations you want to perform on all Shapes
- What other kinds of Shapes might you use in your application? (Triangle, Circle, Polygon, Ellipse, Square, Rectangle Rhombus, Pentagon, ...) Circle-Ellipse Square-Rectangle

- ♦ What are the common operations you want to perform on all Shapes
- What other kinds of Shapes might you use in your application? (Triangle, Circle, Polygon, Ellipse, Square, Rectangle Rhombus, Pentagon, ...) Circle-Ellipse Square-Rectangle
- ♦ Why do you need a Rectangle class as the base class of a Square?

- ♦ What are the common operations you want to perform on all Shapes
- What other kinds of Shapes might you use in your application? (Triangle, Circle, Polygon, Ellipse, Square, Rectangle Rhombus, Pentagon, ...) Circle-Ellipse Square-Rectangle
- ♦ Why do you need a Rectangle class as the base class of a Square?
- ♦ Can a Square substitute for a Rectangle?

- ♦ What are the common operations you want to perform on all Shapes
- What other kinds of Shapes might you use in your application? (Triangle, Circle, Polygon, Ellipse, Square, Rectangle Rhombus, Pentagon, ...) Circle-Ellipse Square-Rectangle
- ♦ Why do you need a Rectangle class as the base class of a Square?
- ♦ Can a Square substitute for a Rectangle?
- A Rhombus is four-sided, like a Rectangle, so should Rectangle derive from Rhombus?

- ♦ What are the common operations you want to perform on all Shapes
- What other kinds of Shapes might you use in your application? (Triangle, Circle, Polygon, Ellipse, Square, Rectangle Rhombus, Pentagon, ...) Circle-Ellipse Square-Rectangle
- ♦ Why do you need a Rectangle class as the base class of a Square?
- ♦ Can a Square substitute for a Rectangle?
- A Rhombus is four-sided, like a Rectangle, so should Rectangle derive from Rhombus?
- ♦ Should you have a base class for all four-sided objects?

- ♦ What are the common operations you want to perform on all Shapes
- What other kinds of Shapes might you use in your application? (Triangle, Circle, Polygon, Ellipse, Square, Rectangle Rhombus, Pentagon, ...) Circle-Ellipse Square-Rectangle
- ♦ Why do you need a Rectangle class as the base class of a Square?
- ♦ Can a Square substitute for a Rectangle?
- A Rhombus is four-sided, like a Rectangle, so should Rectangle derive from Rhombus?
- ♦ Should you have a base class for all four-sided objects?
- Should you have another base class for all five-sided objects?
# **Points to Consider**

To design a Shape inheritance hierarchy

- ♦ What are the common operations you want to perform on all Shapes
- What other kinds of Shapes might you use in your application? (Triangle, Circle, Polygon, Ellipse, Square, Rectangle Rhombus, Pentagon, ...) Circle-Ellipse Square-Rectangle
- ♦ Why do you need a Rectangle class as the base class of a Square?
- ♦ Can a Square substitute for a Rectangle?
- A Rhombus is four-sided, like a Rectangle, so should Rectangle derive from Rhombus?
- ♦ Should you have a base class for all four-sided objects?
- ♦ Should you have another base class for all five-sided objects?
- Should you have a general base class for polygons with the number of sides as an attribute?

# **Points to Consider**

To design a Shape inheritance hierarchy

- ♦ What are the common operations you want to perform on all Shapes
- What other kinds of Shapes might you use in your application? (Triangle, Circle, Polygon, Ellipse, Square, Rectangle Rhombus, Pentagon, ...) Circle-Ellipse Square-Rectangle
- ♦ Why do you need a Rectangle class as the base class of a Square?
- ♦ Can a Square substitute for a Rectangle?
- A Rhombus is four-sided, like a Rectangle, so should Rectangle derive from Rhombus?
- Should you have a base class for all four-sided objects?
- ♦ Should you have another base class for all five-sided objects?
- Should you have a general base class for polygons with the number of sides as an attribute?
- $\diamond$  Will your program perform geometric searches to identify objects?<sub>25-42</sub>



25-43



Undergraduate m\_advisor m\_tuition







Student m\_home m\_courses

Undergraduate	Graduate
m_advisor	m_stipend
m_tuition	







ResidenceManager m\_residences



ResidenceManager	
m_residences	



# Summary



# Summary









#### Summary





25-43















